Journal of
Ceramic Science
and Technology

J. Ceram. Sci. Technol., **16** [4] 187-203 (2025)
DOI: 10.4416/JCST2025-00010
available online at: http://www.ceramic-science.com

Review

Emerging Trends in Ceramic-Incorporated Hybrid Composites for Next-Generation Automotive Suspension Applications

Shisheng Li*[1, 2], Qiong Yuan[2]

[1]Chongqing Automotive Powertrain Systems Testing
Engineering Technology Research Center, Chongqing 401120, China
[2]Faculty of Vehicle Engineering, Chongqing Industry Polytechnic College, Chongqing 401120, China
received April 9, 2025; received in revised form June 9, 2025; accepted July 10, 2025

Abstract

This review explores recent advancements in ceramic-incorporated hybrid composites tailored for automotive suspension systems. Recognizing the limitations of traditional metal components, including weight, corrosion susceptibility, and limited fatigue life, engineers are increasingly incorporating ceramic reinforcements into lightweight matrices. This hybridization strategy significantly enhances mechanical, thermal, and tribological properties critical to suspension performance. Ceramic materials, such as alumina, silicon carbide, and boron carbide, offer exceptional stiffness, thermal stability, and wear resistance when integrated into polymer or metal matrices. Various ceramic reinforcement forms – including nanoparticles, whiskers, and continuous fibers – are assessed, highlighting their roles in optimizing composite behavior. Interface engineering is emerging as a key focus area, with advances in coupling agents and interphase modifications crucial for effective load transfer and toughness enhancement. Additionally, emerging scalable fabrication techniques, including resin transfer molding and squeeze casting, are evaluated for their suitability in mass-producing hybrid composites. Real-world prototypes and performance evaluations reveal substantial weight reductions, improved fatigue life, corrosion resistance, and superior damping characteristics compared to conventional materials. Nevertheless, significant challenges persist in interface toughness, manufacturing scalability, material costs, and design methodologies. Addressing these challenges through innovative interphase designs, automated manufacturing, and advanced computational modeling is essential to realizing the broader commercial adoption of these composites.

Keywords: Interface engineering, tribological properties, nanoparticle reinforcement, scalability, hybridization

I. Introduction

Automotive suspension systems are critical for vehicle safety and ride comfort, as they bear dynamic loads and absorb shocks from the road [1]. Traditionally, suspension components such as coil springs, leaf springs, control arms, and knuckles have been made of steels or alloys, which provide high strength and durability but contribute significant weight (especially to unsprung mass) and can suffer from corrosion and fatigue over time [2]. Reducing the weight of suspension parts is a long-standing objective in the automotive industry because lower unsprung mass improves ride quality and handling response. For example, replacing steel springs with composites has been shown to cut spring weight by ~40 % and improve overall vehicle handling without sacrificing durability. In one case, a glass fiber-reinforced polymer (GFRP) coil spring design achieved comparable performance to steel while saving ~2.5 lbs per spring. Similarly, composite transverse leaf springs in the Chevrolet Corvette replaced a 22 kg steel spring with a 3 kg fiberglass spring (an ~85 % weight reduction) and demonstrated vastly superior fatigue life, surviving over 200 000 cycles with no performance loss. These examples highlight the potential of advanced composites to overcome the weight and corrosion limitations of traditional steel suspension parts [3–5]. For example, an Al-SiC composite control arm was reported to exhibit ~30 % higher tensile strength and ~50 % longer fatigue life than its aluminum alloy counterpart, while reducing weight by nearly 40 % [6]. Similarly, GFRP leaf springs with embedded ceramic nanoparticle fillers showed an ~85 % weight reduction and ~3 × fatigue life improvement compared to steel springs under identical cyclic loading conditions. These quantitative outcomes underscore the superior mechanical resilience and lightweighting capability of ceramic-hybrid composites. In a comparison of a prototype GFRP coil spring and a conventional steel coil spring, the composite spring achieves similar stiffness with about 40 % weight savings. Such lightweight composite springs improve vehicle fuel efficiency and unsprung mass without compromising durability [7].

* Corresponding author: cqlsslss@163.com

In recent years, ceramic-incorporated hybrid composites have emerged as promising materials for next-generation suspension applications. These materials typically consist of a lightweight matrix (polymer or metal) reinforced with ceramic phases (fibers, whiskers, or particles), often in combination with traditional reinforcements like carbon or glass fibers [8–10]. The inclusion of ceramic reinforcements can impart extremely high stiffness, hardness, and thermal stability to the composite, addressing performance areas where pure polymer or metal matrices fall short [11]. For instance, metal matrix composites (MMCs) based on aluminum or magnesium reinforced with ceramic particles/fibers exhibit superior specific strength, stiffness, and heat resistance compared to the base alloys [12]. Likewise, adding nanoceramic particles to polymer matrix composites can dramatically enhance their wear resistance and load-bearing capacity [13]. By leveraging ceramics' inherent properties within a composite architecture, engineers aim to create suspension components that are lighter, stronger, and more durable than conventional metals – enabling improved fuel economy or EV range, and potentially maintenance-free service life in harsh conditions. However, realizing these benefits requires overcoming challenges in material processing and interface engineering, since ceramics are brittle and not naturally compatible with ductile matrices [14]. This has led to extensive research into "hybrid" composite designs and interface modifications to ensure load transfer and toughness in these multi-phase materials.

This review provides an in-depth technical analysis of ceramic-incorporated hybrid composites for automotive suspension applications [15]. We begin with historical developments and background, highlighting why traditional materials are being reconsidered and the motivations for incorporating ceramics into composites. Section 2 discusses various ceramic materials used as reinforcements and their roles in hybrid composites, including how they bond with matrices and influence overall composite behavior. Section 3 surveys recent advancements in composite formulations and fabrication techniques – from nanoscale fillers to manufacturing processes – and addresses scalability challenges for mass production. In Section 4, we evaluate the performance of these composites in the context of suspension requirements: mechanical strength, fatigue/durability, thermal stability, and tribological behavior, citing case studies of prototype components and analyzing their real-world feasibility and cost-performance tradeoffs. Finally, Section 5 examines current challenges and future perspectives, identifying remaining hurdles and emerging research directions that could facilitate broader adoption and commercialization of ceramic-hybrid composites in next-generation automotive suspensions. The goal is to give a comprehensive overview of the state-of-the-art and to contextualize how ceramic-incorporated composites could transform suspension engineering in the coming years. Three summary tables are included to encapsulate key findings: ceramic reinforcement types and effects (Section 2), fabrication techniques (Section 3), and notable performance metrics from case studies (Section 4). Through this structured review, readers can gain a clear understanding of the material innovations at play and their implications for future automotive suspension design.

II. Ceramic Materials and Their Roles in Hybrid Composites

Historical Perspective and Motivation: The idea of adding ceramic phases into composites stems from the need to achieve a combination of properties that neither ceramics nor base matrices can provide alone. Pure structural ceramics (e.g. alumina, silicon carbide) have high stiffness and can withstand extreme temperatures, but they are too brittle for load-bearing suspension parts by themselves. Conversely, metals and polymers are tougher and more ductile, but not as stiff or wear-resistant as ceramics [16,17]. Early attempts in the 1980s–1990s to lighten automotive components led to aluminum matrix composites reinforced with ceramic particles (such as SiC or Al_2O_3) for engine pistons, brake rotors, and driveshafts. These studies demonstrated that even modest ceramic additions could significantly increase elastic modulus and wear resistance of the metal, enabling weight savings by allowing thinner or smaller components for the same stiffness [18,19]. Similarly, polymer composites in the 2000s saw the introduction of nanoceramic fillers (e.g. nano-silica, nanoclay) to improve mechanical and thermal properties of fiber-reinforced plastics [20]. A landmark study by Wetzel *et al.* [21] showed that dispersing nanoscale ceramic particles in epoxy resin can greatly enhance its wear resistance and load-bearing capacity without the severe strength loss seen with larger fillers. Over time, these findings have converged into the development of hybrid composites that incorporate ceramics alongside conventional reinforcements (like carbon or glass fibers) to create materials tailored for demanding applications like suspension systems [22].

Types of Ceramic Reinforcements: A wide range of ceramic materials has been studied as reinforcements in hybrid composites, each offering distinct benefits [23]. Key types include:

Oxide Ceramics (e.g. Alumina, Silica): Alumina (Al_2O_3) is a hard, chemically inert ceramic with a high Young's modulus typically ranging from 370 to 390 GPa, depending on its microstructure and processing conditions [24]. Alumina particles in an aluminum matrix, for instance, can more than double the hardness and significantly improve the wear resistance of the composite. In polymer matrices, nano-Al_2O_3 fillers have been shown to raise the storage modulus and reduce wear rates dramatically (epoxy coatings with alumina had ~65 % less wear than unfilled epoxy). Silica (SiO_2) is another oxide commonly used, especially as glass fiber (which is essentially silica-based). E-glass fibers (a form of aluminosilicate glass) have moderate stiffness (~72 GPa) but very low cost and high tensile strength, making them ideal for lightweight springs and structural members. Silica nanoparticles or microspheres can also be added to polymers to improve thermal stability and reduce shrinkage; while their stiffness effect is less pronounced than carbide or nitride ceramics, they are inexpensive

and aid wear resistance. Oxide ceramic reinforcements typically bond well with polymer matrices when treated with coupling agents (like silanes), owing to their surface hydroxyl groups, which can form robust chemical links to resins [25].

Carbide Ceramics (e.g. silicon carbide, boron carbide, tungsten carbide): Carbides are among the hardest known materials. Silicon carbide (SiC) has a Young's modulus in the order of 400 GPa and a Mohs hardness of ~9.3, yet a relatively low density. SiC particles are widely used in aluminum MMCs – for example, the automotive industry has explored Al/SiC composites to replace cast iron in brake discs and aluminum in suspension knuckles. Adding SiC typically yields a substantial increase in elastic modulus and wear resistance of the matrix; one review noted that ceramic particle additions "considerably increase the mechanical properties" of Al composites [26]. However, because SiC is much harder and stiffer than aluminum, high loadings can introduce brittleness or machinability issues. Boron carbide (B_4C) is even lighter and extremely hard (Mohs ~9.5, modulus ~450 GPa), making it attractive for ultra-lightweight armor and potential automotive MMCs. Researchers have shown that B_4C-reinforced Al composites achieve very high hardness and wear resistance, though toughness may suffer without careful control of particle distribution [27,28]. Tungsten carbide (WC) is less common in suspension applications due to its high density, but it has seen use as a coating filler in epoxy-based repair composites for its extreme abrasion resistance. In general, carbide reinforcements are chosen when maximum hardness and stiffness improvements are desired. They are often used in combination with softer solid lubricants in hybrid composites to balance wear resistance with reduced friction [29].

Nitride and Other Ceramics: Silicon nitride (Si_3N_4) and aluminum nitride (AlN) are ceramics valued for their high temperature stability and, in the case of AlN, high thermal conductivity. Si_3N_4 fibers have been used in some ceramic matrix composites, and as particulate reinforcements they can improve the high-temperature strength of aluminum or magnesium alloys [30]. Zirconia (ZrO_2) is a tough, transformation-hardening ceramic occasionally added in small amounts to composites to improve fracture resistance. For instance, ZrO_2 particles have been used in some polymer composites to enhance toughness and wear properties, as they can absorb energy via phase transformation under stress [31]. However, nitride and zirconia fillers are less common in mainstream automotive composites compared to oxides and carbides, mainly due to cost. They tend to be utilized in specialty applications requiring unique thermal or toughness characteristics.

Reinforcement Forms and Hybrid Configurations: The ceramic reinforcements above can be incorporated into composites in various forms, each affecting the composite's behavior differently [32,33]. Continuous fibers provide the most efficient load transfer and strength improvement in one direction. Ceramic continuous fibers (like alumina fibers or SiC fibers) have been used in both polymer and metal matrices. For example, Nextel™ alumina fibers embedded in aluminum alloy create a composite with exceptional stiffness and creep resistance at elevated temperatures [34]. Continuous ceramic fibers are more often found in aerospace composite parts, but they have potential in automotive suspension (e.g. a carbon fiber + SiC fiber hybrid epoxy could offer high longitudinal strength with a hard, wear-resistant surface layer of SiC fiber). Continuous fibers must be oriented and are usually combined with a secondary reinforcement (or a tough matrix) to mitigate their inherent brittleness. Short fibers and whiskers are chopped fibers or single-crystal filaments (whiskers) that can be dispersed in the matrix [35]. SiC whiskers were historically used to reinforce metal alloys and ceramics, offering significant strengthening at lower volume fractions. In the context of hybrid composites, short ceramic fibers can be mixed with longer fibers of another type [36]. Short glass fibers in a polymer matrix, with added nano-ceramics, constitute another common hybrid form used in injection-moldable suspension brackets or mounts for weight reduction. The random orientation of short fibers yields more isotropic properties than continuous fibers, but with lower absolute strength. Still, they are easier to process and can enhance properties like damping and impact resistance when used alongside particles.

Ceramic particles, ranging from microscale to nanoscale, are the most versatile form of reinforcement. They can be uniformly dispersed in polymers or molten metals to create particulate composites [37]. For instance, Al-SiC particulate MMCs and epoxy-Al_2O_3 nanocomposites are well-documented systems for improving stiffness and wear characteristics. Larger particles (in the order of 10–100 μm) add stiffness but can act as stress concentrators if not well bonded. Nanoscale particles (1–100 nm), by contrast, have enormous surface area and can engage effectively with the matrix to hinder deformation at the molecular scale. Studies show that even a few weight percent of ceramic nanoparticles can dramatically increase a composite's tensile strength and modulus when well-dispersed [38]. Nanoparticles also improve properties like thermal stability and reduce moisture uptake by filling micro-voids in the matrix. Hybrid composites often use a combination of particle sizes – e.g. micron-sized ceramic particles for primary reinforcement and nanoparticles to enhance the matrix-fiber interface and crack resistance.

The term hybrid composite often implies more than one type of reinforcement. In ceramic-incorporated hybrids, this could mean a mix of fibers and particles, or two different types of ceramics together [39,40]. A notable example is aluminum hybrid MMCs containing both SiC and graphite particles. Kumar *et al.* designed an Al-SiC/Gr hybrid composite that achieved extremely high wear resistance while maintaining better ductility than a single-reinforcement composite. The SiC provided hardness and load support, whereas the graphite provided lubrication to reduce friction and counteract the brittleness of SiC. The result was a material with superior wear performance and adequate toughness for applications like brake rotors or perhaps ball joint bearings [41]. In polymer hybrids, combining macro- and nano-reinforcements is common:

e.g. a carbon or glass fiber fabric for bulk strength, with nano-ceramic particles dispersed in the resin to improve matrix-dominated properties. Such multi-scale reinforcement strategies are a recent development aimed at exploiting the synergistic effects of different materials [42]. By tailoring the mix, engineers can target specific property enhancements – for instance, maximizing fatigue life and impact toughness while also increasing stiffness and scratch resistance.

Interface Bonding Mechanisms: A critical aspect of ceramic-incorporated composites is the fiber/matrix or particle/matrix interface [43, 44]. The load transfer efficiency and overall performance depend on achieving strong yet not overly brittle interfaces. Ceramics are often intrinsically not very compatible with polymers or metals due to differences in surface chemistry and thermal expansion [45]. Therefore, various interface engineering approaches are employed:

Polymer Matrix Composites: For ceramics in polymer matrices, coupling agents are widely used to promote bonding. Silane coupling agents, for example, have organofunctional groups on one end and hydrolysable groups on the other that form covalent bonds with the ceramic filler's surface [46]. This creates a molecular bridge at the interface. In fiberglass/epoxy composites, silane treatments of the glass fibers are standard to achieve a durable fiber-matrix bond. Similarly, nano-silica or alumina fillers are often surface-functionalized to improve dispersion and interfacial adhesion [47, 48]. Good bonding ensures that stresses can be transferred into the stiff ceramic phase, raising the composite's modulus and strength. However, an overly strong bond can cause brittle behavior, so sometimes a graded interface or slightly weaker bond is desirable to allow energy absorption. Researchers have also developed textured or porous ceramic fillers to enable mechanical interlocking with the polymer [49, 50]. For instance, creating a micromechanical interlocking interface on ceramic particles can enhance adhesion without relying solely on chemical bonds. In hybrid composites with fibers and particles, the interface between the different reinforcements must also be considered.

Metal Matrix Composites: Bonding between ceramics and metals is often more challenging due to poor wetting and potential interfacial reactions [51]. Many ceramics (like SiC or Al_2O_3) are not wetted easily by molten aluminum, leading to voids at the interface. To address this, alloying elements or coatings are used. For example, adding a small amount of magnesium to aluminum promotes the wetting of SiC by forming a thin MgO or $MgAl_2O_4$ layer that improves chemical bonding [52]. Nickel or copper plating on ceramic particles is another strategy to improve wetting and create a metallurgical bond when the composite is cast or sintered. The ideal interface in MMCs is one that is chemically bonded but not overly brittle. A small reaction layer can increase bonding, but if it grows too thick it may embrittle the interface [53]. Processing methods like squeeze casting are employed to achieve intimate contact and minimal porosity at the interface. In the case of ceramic fiber-reinforced metals, fibers may be pre-coated to prevent detrimental reactions and to tailor the bonding strength. A strong interface yields better stiffness, but sometimes a weaker interface can deflect cracks and improve fracture toughness of the composite [54]. Achieving the right balance is crucial. Many hybrid MMCs in research use a dual approach: surface-treat the ceramic and adjust matrix chemistry.

Interphase Engineering: Whether in polymer or metal matrices, modern composite design often includes an engineered interphase – a region around the ceramic reinforcement that is chemically or structurally tailored. This could be a nano-thickness coating, a functionalized layer, or a gradient in composition [55]. For example, in some polymer nanocomposites, core-shell particles are used to improve compatibility. In high-performance ceramic matrix composites, a porous or carbon interphase is introduced to deliberately weaken the fiber/matrix bond and allow fiber pull-out for toughness [56]. Lessons from CMCs and traditional fiber composites are being applied to automotive hybrids: e.g. incorporating rubbery nanoparticle coatings on ceramic fillers to improve impact resistance of a suspension component, or using multi-walled carbon nanotubes grafted onto ceramic particles to enhance electrical/thermal connectivity at the interface. All these methods speak to the central challenge of using ceramics in a composite – the interface must be managed to harness the ceramic's properties without causing premature failure [57].

Impact on Composite Performance: Incorporating ceramic reinforcements in a hybrid composite profoundly affects its mechanical, thermal, and tribological performance, which is why these materials are of such interest for suspension parts:

Mechanical Properties: The primary mechanical benefit is increased stiffness (Young's modulus) and often higher strength, especially compressive and tensile strength at ambient and elevated temperatures. Ceramic particles in an Al matrix improve its modulus in direct proportion to the volume added (rule of mixtures), albeit with some loss of ductility 58. For instance, adding 10 – 15 % SiC to aluminum can raise the elastic modulus by ~ 20 – 30 % and yield strength similarly, while reducing elongation at break. In polymer composites, nano-ceramics can enhance the modulus significantly without the weight penalty of high fiber loading. An example is HDPE with hybrid graphene nanoplatelet (GNP) and Al_2O_3 nanopowder filler: with only a few percent filler, substantial increases in tensile strength and wear resistance were observed [59]. Fiber-reinforced composites (like CFRP or GFRP) that include ceramic fillers often show improved interlaminar shear strength and compression-after-impact performance, because the fillers harden the matrix and inhibit matrix-dominated failure modes. However, too high a ceramic content can cause brittleness. Many studies report an optimal filler content (often in the range of 1 – 5 wt% for nanoparticles, or 10 – 30 % for micro-particles) that maximizes strength before the composite's toughness and processability start to degrade. For suspension components, high stiffness is desirable to maintain geometry under load, but some flexibility can be beneficial for

energy absorption [60]. Ceramic hybrids allow tuning of these properties – for example, a control arm could be made stiff in service but still have good fatigue resistance due to tough fibers and a slightly yielding matrix.

Thermal Properties: Ceramics generally have much higher thermal stability than polymers and many have higher thermal conductivity than polymers or even metals. Incorporating ceramics can thus raise a composite's heat resistance, measured by properties like glass transition temperature (T_g) or heat deflection temperature (HDT) in polymers. Alumina and silica, being thermally stable, also char-protect polymer matrices at high heat, delaying thermal degradation. Some ceramics like aluminum nitride or graphene (carbon is not a ceramic, but often discussed in parallel) can significantly increase thermal conductivity, which is useful for dissipating heat in brake or shock absorber components. In metal composites, ceramic additions usually lower the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) of the composite, which can be beneficial for maintaining dimensional stability of suspension parts across temperature swings [61]. However, CTE mismatch between matrix and ceramic can also induce thermal stresses – this is a design consideration. Overall, ceramic-incorporated composites can be engineered to better handle the thermal environment of a suspension. Their improved thermal resistance also means they are less prone to softening or creeping under sustained loads at elevated temperatures (a known issue for pure polymer components).

Tribological Properties: A major advantage of adding hard ceramic phases is improved wear resistance and sometimes reduced friction in sliding contacts. Suspension systems have several interfaces that experience friction and wear: e.g. leaf spring surfaces sliding on each other, bushings and sleeves, or damping valve components in shock absorbers. By incorporating ceramics, composites can exhibit much higher abrasion resistance than the base material [62]. Literature reports provide strong evidence of tribological improvement with ceramic incorporation. For example, a study by Iwai *et al.* [63] demonstrated that adding 15 wt% SiC particles to an aluminum matrix reduced the wear rate from 6.5×10^{-4} mm^3/N·m (unreinforced) to 1.2×10^{-4} mm^3/N·m under dry sliding at 20 N load and 1 m/s sliding speed, while lowering the friction coefficient from ~ 0.65 to ~ 0.42. Similarly, in a polymeric system, a PEEK-based composite with 5 wt% nano-Al_2O_3 showed a wear rate of 1.6×10^{-5} mm^3/N·m and a friction coefficient of 0.35 under 40 N load and 0.5 m/s sliding velocity, compared to 5.4×10^{-5} mm^3/N·m and 0.48 for pure PEEK. In hybrid systems combining ceramic fillers with lubricating additives, synergistic effects have been observed. An Al-SiC/Gr hybrid composite exhibited a wear rate of 9.8×10^{-5} mm^3/N·m and a friction coefficient of 0.18, markedly better than the SiC-only composite's 0.31 coefficient under similar loads [64]. This demonstrates the potential to simultaneously reduce both wear and friction by carefully balancing reinforcement type and volume. In metal composites, the use of ceramics like SiC, B_4C, or Al_2O_3 similarly yields surfaces that are more scratch- and wear-resistant than the base metal – beneficial for parts like ball joint sockets or pivot bearings. However, purely hard ceramics can increase the coefficient of friction if they cause abrasive contact with their counterfaces [65]. Thus, a balanced tribological approach is often used: hybrid fillers combining hard ceramics and soft lubricating phases. We saw this with the Al-SiC/Gr hybrid MMC where the composite achieved superior wear resistance and maintained low friction because the graphite forms a lubricating film. In polymer composites for suspension bushings, adding PTFE along with ceramic nanoparticles has achieved ultralow wear rates – one report noted a 22 × increase in the wear resistance of a PEEK composite with the combination of PTFE and nanosilica [66]. While PTFE is not ceramic, this illustrates how ceramics can work synergistically with other additives in tribological composites. For suspension applications, enhanced tribological performance means longer service life and possibly eliminating the need for lubrication. Composite pivot joints or composite leaf spring eye bushings have been explored that require no grease, using a reinforced polymer with embedded lubricating and hard particles to sustain the motion [67, 68]. The challenge is to ensure the ceramic doesn't make the material too brittle under impact or shock loads, which again comes down to the hybrid design strategy.

Table 1 below summarizes several ceramic materials used in hybrid composites, their key properties, and typical impacts on composite performance relevant to automotive suspensions, with examples from the literature.

Table 1: Common ceramic reinforcements in hybrid composites and their effects on properties. *E denotes Young's modulus.

Ceramic Reinforcement	Form & Key Properties	Impact on Composite Performance	Example Applications/Notes
Alumina (Al_2O_3)	Particles or fibers; E ~ 370 GPa, Hardness ~ 9 Mohs, density 3.9 g/cm^3. High compressive strength and chemically inert.	Increases stiffness and compressive strength; greatly improves wear resistance. Good thermal stability (up to ~ 1 500 °C). Can slightly increase density. Interfaces well with polymers using silane coupling	Used in Al-alloy MMC pistons and cylinder liners for wear resistance; nano-alumina in epoxies improves abrasion resistance (epoxy/Al_2O_3 coating showed ~ 65 % less wear)

Ceramic Reinforcement	Form & Key Properties	Impact on Composite Performance	Example Applications/Notes
Silicon Carbide (SiC)	Particles, whiskers, or fibers; E 400 GPa, Hardness ~ 9.3 Mohs, density ~ 3.1 g/cm^3. Very high thermal conductivity (~ 120 W/mK) and low CTE.	Dramatically increases elastic modulus and hardness of matrix. Improves wear and high-temp strength. Can reduce toughness if loading is high (brittle interfaces). Lowers CTE of metal matrices (improved thermal stability).	Widely used in Al MMC brake rotors and performance brake pads; also in some composite suspension knuckles to replace cast iron). SiC whisker-reinforced aluminum shows ~ 20 – 30 % higher stiffness than unreinforced.
Boron Carbide (B_4C)	Particles; E ~ 450 GPa, Hardness ~ 9.5 Mohs, density ~ 2.5 g/cm^3). Extremely hard and lightweight.	Greatly increases hardness and abrasion resistance. Minimal weight addition due to low density. Can be difficult to wet in metals; tends to increase composite brittleness if not combined with ductile phase.	Potential for ultra-light suspension armor or abrasion-resistant inserts. Used in sandblast nozzles and armor – in suspension, considered for lightweight brake drums or wear plates where weight is critical.
Silica (SiO_2)	As Glass Fiber or micro/nanoparticles; glass fiber E ~ 72 GPa, density ~ 2.5 g/cm^3. Amorphous silica nanoparticles: ~ 70 GPa modulus.	Glass fibers: provide high tensile strength and moderate stiffness, with excellent fatigue resistance.	Ubiquitous in composite springs (e.g. GFRP leaf and coil springs). Glass fiber/epoxy leaf spring gave ~ 69 % weight reduction vs steel. Nanosilica used in epoxy bushings for wear improvement.
Silicon Nitride (Si_3N_4)	Particles or short fibers; E ~300 GPa, Hardness ~ 8.5 Mohs, density ~ 3.2 g/cm^3. Very high temperature capability (> 1 000 °C).	Improves high-temperature strength and retains stiffness at elevated T. Adds wear resistance (not as much as carbides). Lowers friction coefficient when in lubricated contact (Si_3N_4 is tribologically favorable vs metals).	Mainly used in ceramic ball bearings (Si_3N_4 balls) – in suspension context, could be in composite ball joints or bushing liners for high wear resistance. Also used in some Al MMC research for engine components
Zirconia (ZrO_2)	Particles; E ~ 210 GPa, density ~ 5.6 g/cm^3. High fracture toughness for a ceramic (transformational toughening).	Can improve composite toughness and impact resistance in small amounts (phase transformation absorbs energy). Enhances wear resistance moderately. High density can penalize weight if used in large volume.	Added to some polymer composites to improve impact strength (e.g. dental composites use ZrO_2 fillers for toughness). In automotive, considered for shock absorber piston heads or valve parts to reduce wear while maintaining some ductility.
Graphite & Other Solid Lubricants (not a ceramic but often hybridized with them)	Particles (flakes) in hybrid with ceramics; low hardness (~ 1 Mohs) but self-lubricating. Graphite density ~ 2.2 g/cm^3.	When combined with hard ceramic (SiC, Al_2O_3, etc.), maintains low friction while ceramic handles load. Improves damping (graphite can absorb vibrations). Reduces tendency of cracks to propagate by providing weak interfaces that absorb energy.	Graphite is frequently co-added in hybrid MMCs for brake components. Also used in polymer wear composites (e.g. nylon with molybdenum disulfide or graphite for suspension bushings). These "soft" fillers complement ceramics for balanced tribology.

III. Recent Developments and Fabrication Techniques

Designing and fabricating hybrid composites that include ceramic reinforcements require sophisticated processing techniques to ensure uniform dispersion, strong interfaces, and cost-effective scalability [69]. Early composite fabrication for automotive applications often borrowed from aerospace, which yields high performance but at a prohibitively high cost and low throughput for mass production. Recent developments have focused on adapting composite manufacturing to higher volumes and integrating nano/micro-scale ceramic fillers in ways compatible with these processes [70].

Polymer Matrix Composite Fabrication: Most composite suspension prototypes use a polymer as the matrix, reinforced with fibers and sometimes ceramic fillers. Traditional methods like hand lay-up and autoclave curing produce excellent quality but are too slow for anything beyond low-volume production. To meet automotive cycle time demands, processes such as Resin Transfer Molding (RTM), compression molding of Sheet Molding Compound (SMC), and filament winding have been developed and refined.

Metal Matrix Composite Fabrication: For metal matrix hybrid composites (usually aluminum or magnesium matrices with ceramic reinforcements), the fabrication

routes differ greatly from those of polymer composites. The main approaches are casting-based or powder metallurgy-based.

Hybrid Multi-Material Fabrication: Beyond making a single composite material, another development in automotive manufacturing is combining different materials into a single component assembly – for example, overmolding a composite onto a metal, or co-curing a polymer composite with a ceramic surface layer. The 2019 Ram 1500 pickup introduced a hybrid composite/metal upper control arm, which joined a carbon fiber reinforced nylon arm section to forged aluminum end fittings for the ball joint and bushing connections [71]. This hybrid approach capitalizes on placing composite material where weight savings are most beneficial and using metal where toughness and threads are needed. The manufacturing involved molding the composite arm and mechanically or chemically bonding it to the aluminum ends. Such multi-material assemblies are an active area of development because they allow engineers to use the "right material in the right place." Processes like insert molding or adhesive bonding of composite and metal subparts are key enabling techniques [72]. The challenge is ensuring load transfer between dissimilar materials – often requiring special surface treatments. Another example is co-curing a thin CNT-reinforced ceramic film on the surface of a CFRP part for added hardness – a research team demonstrated this co-curing lay-up process to create a hybrid ceramic-polymer laminate with improved thermal conductivity and surface durability. This kind of approach might be used to give a composite control arm a wear-resistant ceramic skin in areas that contact other parts [73]. It's essentially merging fabrication of a composite and a coating in one step.

Scalability and Manufacturing Challenges: While many fabrication techniques exist, not all are easily scalable or cost-effective for high-volume automotive production [74]. Cycle time is one critical metric – a target of under 2 minutes per part is often desired for mass production. Techniques like compression molding and HP-RTM can approach this for certain components [75]. Other techniques like autoclave curing or powder sintering are too slow for large volumes. Quality control is another challenge: detecting and preventing defects such as porosity, agglomeration of ceramic particles, or delamination [76]. Non-destructive evaluation (NDE) methods are being integrated into production lines to ensure composite parts meet strict reliability requirements for suspension. Reproducibility of nanocomposite properties is also an issue – ensuring that each batch of material has the ceramic fillers dispersed identically. This has spurred interest in continuous compounding processes for nano-filled resins, and in real-time monitoring of mixing [77]. Tool wear can be a problem when molding composites with ceramic content; molds and mixing equipment can wear faster due to abrasive particles. Hence, higher-grade tool steels or coatings are used, adding some cost.

On the positive side, the automotive sector has invested heavily in composite manufacturing innovations in the past decade. The BMW 7-Series and i3 production lines, for example, showcased automated lay-up and RTM for carbon fiber parts in relatively high volumes [78]. Lessons from those programs (automation of fiber handling, rapid curing resins) are being transferred to mixed-material systems. There is also movement toward standardization of composite blanks (e.g. woven preforms or SMC sheets) that can be made by material suppliers and then formed by automotive OEMs much like metals. This supply chain evolution is critical for widespread use. Table 2 summarizes several key fabrication techniques for hybrid composites, noting their applications, advantages, and limitations, especially as relevant to creating suspension components [79].

In Table 2, no single fabrication method is ideal for all cases – each has trade-offs between performance and practicality. A current trend is hybrid processing, where multiple techniques are combined [80–82]. For example, a part might be initially made by casting and then locally reinforced by friction stir processing, or a fiber composite could be overmolded with a fiber-filled plastic in high stress areas. Researchers are also addressing scalability by automation: robotic fiber placement for lay-ups, in-line mixing for nano-additives, and rapid curing methods. The introduction of Industry 4.0 concepts is making the manufacturing of these advanced composites more reliable.

Table 2: Fabrication techniques for ceramic-incorporated hybrid composites – applications, advantages, and limitations.

Fabrication Method	Typical Applications	Key Process Parameters	Advantages	Limitations
Resin Transfer Molding (RTM)	Polymer matrix composites (structural parts, e.g. springs, control arms)	Injection pressure, mold temperature, resin viscosity (especially if loaded with fillers), cure time.	High fiber content, good surface finish, complex shapes possible. Adaptable to moderate volume production (with HP-RTM cycle times ~3–5 min). Uniform resin/filler distribution if controlled.	Requires expensive molds & injection equipment. Risk of filler filtering or voids if resin flow is not perfect. Tool cleaning and prep can be time-consuming, especially with abrasive fillers.

Fabrication Method	Typical Applications	Key Process Parameters	Advantages	Limitations
Compression Molding (SMC/BMC)	Polymer composites (spring spacers, brackets, panels)	Charge placement, molding pressure, temperature, fiber length, filler content.	Fast cycle (< 2 min) for high-volume; near-net shape with complex geometry. Can incorporate fillers easily into SMC paste. Cost-effective for large batches.	Lower fiber length = reduced max strength vs continuous fiber. Fibers and fillers can settle in the compound if storage is long. Mold wear from ceramic filler can be an issue. Limited to parts ~ < 1 m in size typically.
Filament Winding/ Braiding	Hollow or cylindrical parts (stabilizer bars, drive shafts, tubular control arms)	Fiber tension, winding angle, resin cure cycle. If fillers: resin viscosity and particle size.	Excellent fiber alignment → high specific strength. Can tailor stiffness by winding angle. Suitable for medium volumes (automation possible). Uniform distribution of any nano-fillers in resin (if low viscosity).	Mostly for simple shapes (cylinders or slight curves). Mandrel removal needed (or mandrel becomes part of product). Hard particles may clog resin baths or impede fiber wetting if not small enough, particles larger than ~ 5 – 10 μm are particularly prone to settling or obstructing flow in low-clearance dispensing systems.
Stir Casting (MMC)	Aluminum or Mg MMC components (e.g. wheel hubs, small control arms, brake discs prototypes)	Stirrer speed/time, melt temperature (~ 700 – 800 °C for Al), use of flux or wetting agent (Mg), particle preheat.	Relatively simple and low-cost; uses existing casting infrastructure. Able to make large parts. Good for ~ 5 – 20 % reinforcement range.	Tends to create porosity and particle clustering if not controlled. Mechanical properties can scatter. Not suitable for very high fractions of ceramic or very fine particles without advanced stirring (ultrasonic).
Squeeze Casting/ Pressure Infiltration	High-strength MMC parts (suspension knuckles, frame nodes with local reinforcement)	Applied pressure level (50 – 150 MPa), mold temperature, pouring temp, pressure hold time.	Yields near-full density and excellent interfacial bonding. Can incorporate high ceramic fractions or fiber preforms. Suitable for fairly complex shapes (with simple fiber architecture).	High tooling and equipment cost (presses, robust molds). Slower cycle (due to setup and cooldown). Primarily for aluminum alloys (process less developed for steel).
Powder Metallurgy (Blending & Sintering)	Small complex parts (bushings, wear inserts, mounts) requiring high ceramic content or exotic mixes.	Powder size and mixing, compaction pressure, sintering temperature (e.g. 500 – 600 °C for Al with aids), sintering time.	Achieves uniform microstructure; can use very high reinforcement (> 50 %). Complex net shapes possible (via pressing or 3D printing). Can combine multiple additives (ceramic + solid lubricant, etc.) uniformly.	Expensive raw materials (powders). Generally small part sizes. May need secondary densification (for critical strength, a HIP step). Not as readily scalable for millions of parts due to batch processing.

Fabrication Method	Typical Applications	Key Process Parameters	Advantages	Limitations
Additive Manufacturing (3D Printing)	Prototype or custom suspension links, lattice structures, integrated sensor components.	For polymer extrusion: nozzle temp, feed rate, fiber content. For metal SLM: laser power, scan speed, powder characteristics.	Unparalleled design freedom (complex internal structures, graded material) – e.g. print a topology-optimized control arm. Can integrate multiple materials (by sequential deposition). Rapid prototyping potential.	Slow for large parts; material performance not yet on par with traditional processes (voids, lower fiber content in printed composites). Equipment and powder costs are high. Best suited for prototyping or niche production currently.
Co-Curing/ Multi-Material Joining	Hybrid structures (composite arm with metal ends, ceramic-coated CFRP parts).	Surface preparation, cure cycle for adhesive or co-curing, pressure during joining.	Allows each section of part to use optimal material (metal for threads, composite for light weight, ceramic for surface hardness). Flexible design and repair (can replace one sub-component if needed).	Joining interfaces can be weak link – differential thermal expansion and load transfer need careful engineering. Manufacturing has extra steps (alignment of parts, bonding verification).

IV. Performance Evaluation and Automotive Suspension Applications

A crucial aspect of developing ceramic-incorporated hybrid composites for suspension is demonstrating that they meet or exceed the performance of traditional materials under real-world conditions. Suspension components must endure repetitive load cycles (fatigue), occasional extreme shocks, a wide temperature range, and exposure to moisture, road salt, and debris [83, 84]. They also contribute to ride quality and handling, meaning their stiffness and damping characteristics directly affect vehicle dynamics. In this section, we analyze the performance of hybrid composites in terms of mechanical properties, thermal stability, tribological and durability behavior, and then illustrate these with case studies of prototype components that have been tested or implemented. We also consider cost-performance aspects: it is not enough for a composite to perform well; it must do so cost-competitively to justify replacing steel or aluminum [85].

Mechanical Performance: Ceramic-hybrid composites generally show impressive specific strength and modulus, often surpassing metals on a per-weight basis. For instance, a carbon fiber/epoxy composite has a tensile strength several times that of steel at one-fifth the weight [86]. When ceramic fillers are added, the stiffness increases further. Experiments on glass fiber-reinforced composites with ceramic nanoparticle infill have reported significant improvements in tensile and flexural properties over composites without nano-fillers. As mentioned earlier, a small clay nanoparticle addition yielded ~34 % higher tensile strength in a GFRP laminate. Furthermore, comparative testing revealed that a SiC-reinforced aluminum MMC leaf spring achieved a tensile strength of ~320 MPa, a ~28 % increase over conventional 6061-T6 aluminum (~250 MPa), while exhibiting fatigue strength of 130 MPa at 10^7 cycles – comparable to spring steel but at 60 % of the weight. In polymer systems, nano-Al_2O_3-filled epoxy composites exhibited a 40–50 % increase in both tensile and flexural strength compared to unfilled systems. GFRP leaf springs typically achieve the required spring rate while being much lighter, with experimental data indicating natural frequencies 93 % higher than steel analogues, and up to 4 × greater specific damping capacity. These enhancements directly benefit suspension springs or arms by allowing them to carry higher loads with less material [87].

One of the most critical mechanical aspects for suspension is fatigue life. Composites can behave very differently from metals in fatigue: often they have no clear endurance limit, but with proper design they can survive very long cycles. The Corvette's composite leaf spring, for example, demonstrated no performance degradation after 200 k cycles, whereas a steel spring would likely crack by then. Fiber-reinforced polymers exhibit excellent fatigue resistance in tension, though compression fatigue and shear fatigue can be weak point [88]. The inclusion of ceramic particles can improve fatigue if it enhances load transfer and reduces matrix micro-cracking. For example, a study on hybrid epoxy composites found that nano-alumina fillers helped "heal" micro-cracks at the fiber/matrix interface, delaying delamination and thus extending fatigue life under bending loads. In metal composites, fatigue can be a concern if particles initiate cracks; however, many MMCs show comparable fatigue limits to the base alloy due to the composite's higher static strength offsetting the effect of lower ductility [89]. For instance, Al-SiC MMC leaf springs tested under cyclic loading exhibited fatigue strength around 130 MPa at 10^7 cycles, similar to spring steel, but at 60 % lower weight [90]. Additionally, because composites don't rust, their fatigue performance in corrosive environments is often better than steel's. GFRP leaf springs have been noted to retain their fatigue strength even after salt spray exposure, unlike steel springs that suffer corrosion fatigue [91]. Overall, the mechanical evaluations suggest that well-designed hybrid composites can meet or exceed

the static strength and fatigue requirements of suspension components. The stiffness of composites can be tailored via fiber orientation; for example, a leaf spring's vertical stiffness and lateral flexibility can be tuned by the fiber lay-up sequence. GFRP leaf springs typically achieve the required spring rate while being much lighter, and their natural frequency is higher due to lower mass (Nishant Varma *et al.* reported a composite leaf spring's natural frequency 93 % higher than the steel equivalent [92], which can improve ride vibration characteristics). One caveat in mechanical performance is impact resistance: composites can be more sensitive to impact damage than ductile steel. To address this, hybrid designs sometimes include an elastic outer layer or tough nano-fillers to increase impact tolerance. Prototype composite control arms have included such features to ensure they can withstand curb strikes or pothole impacts without catastrophic failure [93].

Thermal and Environmental Performance: Suspension components can heat up due to nearby brakes or friction. Traditional composites can lose stiffness as temperature approaches their glass transition [94]. Ceramic additives can raise these limits. For instance, epoxy loaded with alumina or silica has shown higher glass transition temperature and improved retention of modulus at elevated temperatures. Thermal cycling can cause expansion mismatch stresses. Composites generally have lower CTE than metals, meaning they may actually experience less expansion-related stress. Ceramic particles further reduce CTE of a polymer or metal matrix, increasing dimensional stability 95. However, if a composite part is bonded to metal, differences in CTE must be managed. Testing on hybrid control arms includes thermal cycling to ensure the bond holds; the results so far have been promising with proper adhesive selection.

From an environmental standpoint, composites do not corrode like steel – a major plus for suspension longevity. Salt spray tests on GFRP springs show essentially no degradation aside from surface cosmetics. Metals like aluminum may corrode, but adding ceramics can sometimes create galvanic concerns. Glass and ceramic fillers are generally benign in this regard. On the downside, polymers can absorb moisture and degrade under UV, but modern automotive composites use UV-resistant resins and protective coatings [96]. Moisture can plasticize some polymers, reducing stiffness slightly; interestingly, certain ceramic fillers can actually reduce moisture absorption by lessening free volume in the resin. A composite brake control arm near a hot brake rotor might benefit from ceramic's thermal conductivity. But if we consider bushings or mounts, here thermal insulation might be desired to keep heat out – an area where polymer composites excel by not conducting heat readily. It's all about application-specific needs [97].

Tribological and Damping Performance: The damping behavior of composites is an often-cited advantage for suspension. Composites, especially those with polymer matrices, tend to have higher material damping than metals – they dissipate vibrational energy internally. This can reduce road noise and vibration transmitted to the vehicle. The composite leaf spring mentioned in several studies showed better damping characteristics than steel, leading to reduced noise in an electric vehicle test. Specifically, the inherent material damping of GFRP helped quell high-frequency vibrations in the suspension [98]. Ceramic fillers can influence damping in complex ways: hard particles might reduce damping by making the matrix stiffer and more elastic, but if they induce micro-friction or viscoelastic interface slip, they could increase energy dissipation. Some research indicates that nano-fillers (including ceramics) can improve the loss factor (damping) of fiber composites by hindering elastic rebound at the microscale. For suspension bushings made of elastomer or polymer, adding particulate fillers (like carbon black in rubber, or even nanoclay in polyurethane) is a known way to tune stiffness and damping. So a composite bushing with ceramic filler could potentially achieve a desired damping coefficient.

To summarize the key performance outcomes, Table 3 below collates data from several sources and prototypes, comparing traditional materials to composite solutions for various suspension elements. The data in Table 3 (drawn from various sources including manufacturer reports and research studies) underscore that ceramic-incorporated and hybrid composite components can indeed fulfill the demanding performance requirements of automotive suspensions. Weight reductions in the order of 20 – 80 % have been achieved in different parts, while meeting or exceeding strength and durability targets. Improved characteristics such as corrosion resistance and damping are added bonuses unique to composites. Notably, these results have been obtained in both high-end, low-volume applications and are gradually trickling into higher-volume segments.

That said, challenges remain. Manufacturers must be convinced of long-term reliability. Design methodologies and safety factors for composites in suspension are still being standardized – engineers often over-design composites due to uncertainty, which can eat into the weight advantage. Additionally, there is the matter of repairability: whereas a bent steel control arm is typically replaced outright, a cracked composite arm would also be replaced. However, given that composites usually do not bend, routine in-service inspection might need to include checking for delamination or cracks.

Table 3: Summary of performance metrics from case studies of composite suspension components.

Component & Material	Weight Savings	Mechanical Performance	Durability Performance
Mono Leaf Spring – Glass/Epoxy Composite (Chevrolet Corvette)	~ 85 % weight reduction vs. multi-leaf steel (3 kg vs 22 kg). Also replaces separate anti-roll bar (multi-function design).	Equivalent spring rate achieved. 4 – 5× greater strain energy storage per weight than steel (allows much lighter spring for same deflection). Natural frequency 93 % higher than steel spring (improved response).	Survived > 200 000 full compression cycles with no performance loss (steel showed likely failure by 200 k). No corrosion issues; requires rub plates at mounts to prevent wear. Composite damping higher, reducing road noise.
Coil Spring – GFRP Composite (Audi prototype/ production)	~ 40 % weight reduction vs steel coil (saving ~ 2.5 lb per spring).	Matched steel spring rates and load capacity in testing. Slightly lower unsprung mass improved ride and handling precision (observed in vehicle tests).	Validated through fatigue testing to million+ cycles equivalent with no cracks. Passed gravel bombardment and salt spray (no corrosion, just cosmetic wear on coating). Claimed as "just as durable and reliable as steel" by Audi. Composite spring did not sag or lose rate over thermal cycles.
Upper Control Arm – Carbon fiber/epoxy (Forged Composite) (Lamborghini)	~ 27 % weight reduction vs aluminum alloy control arm. (Forged Composite arm ~1.6 kg vs 2.2 kg Al arm, for example.)	Met all static strength requirements (load cases for cornering, braking, etc.). Very high specific stiffness: part stiffness comparable to Al arm while lighter.	Endured road load simulation with no cracks; however, needed metal inserts for joint areas (composite alone not used for threaded or tapered joints). Good damage tolerance due to short fiber mold charge (no large delaminations observed on impact).
Upper Control Arm – Hybrid Composite/Metal (2019 Ram 1500)	~ 20 % weight reduction vs all-steel arm (combination of GF-nylon composite + steel ends) – roughly 1 kg saved per arm.	Static and fatigue strength met OEM standards. Composite section provided required stiffness in vertical bending; steel end forgings handled localized stresses. Overall stiffness comparable to steel arm, with slight increase in damping.	Passed full durability testing (road loads, thermal aging, stone impact). No galvanic corrosion at interface (proper isolation used). Demonstrated viability in a mass-produced pickup truck – first of its kind. Warranty data (as available) showed normal field performance.
Stabilizer Bar – Carbon/Epoxy (aftermarket prototype)	~ 50 % weight reduction vs solid steel bar (hollow carbon bar with steel end fittings).	Achieved required roll stiffness. Custom lay-up allowed tuning of torsional spring rate.	Survived rig tests for fatigue, but some issues at the interface with steel ends (resolved with improved bonding). Not susceptible to corrosion. Slight decrease in NVH due to material damping.
Ball Joint Bearing – PTFE/Glass Fiber Composite Liner (replace steel bearings)	~ 30 % weight reduction at component level (composite liner in steel shell vs full steel insert).	Maintained similar load capacity. Coefficient of friction lowered (~ 0.05 – 0.1) due to PTFE; no stick-slip.	Wear life exceeded that of greased metal-metal joint in lab tests (due to self-lubrication). Excellent corrosion resistance (no metal-on-metal contact to rust). Used successfully in aerospace, being evaluated for auto suspension.

V. Current Challenges, Future Perspectives, and Conclusions

The progress in ceramic-incorporated hybrid composites for automotive suspension is compelling, yet several challenges are preventing their pervasive commercial adoption. This final section examines those challenges – technical, economic, and regulatory – and discusses emerging solutions and research trends aimed at overcoming them. We also explore future perspectives, including how next-generation materials and design paradigms might leverage these composites.

Interface and Toughness Challenges: As highlighted, the inclusion of ceramics can introduce brittleness if

not properly managed. One of the foremost challenges is ensuring adequate fracture toughness and damage tolerance in these composites. While strength and stiffness may be high, suspension parts experience impacts and accidental overloads. Ceramics do not yield, so hybrid composites must rely on matrix plasticity or fiber pull-out to absorb energy. If the ceramic/matrix interface is too strong, cracks can propagate straight through. Researchers continue to seek the optimal interface bonding – strong enough for load transfer, but with mechanisms for energy dissipation. Approaches such as nano-engineered interphases, incorporating tiny amounts of rubber or graphene with the ceramic to improve toughness, are being explored. For example, adding <1 % carbon nanotubes alongside SiC particles in an epoxy showed improved Mode-I fracture toughness by bridging micro-cracks. Such hybridization might be necessary to ensure that a composite control arm doesn't shatter like a ceramic under a sharp impact. The challenge is doing this without compromising stiffness or introducing significant cost.

Manufacturing Scale-Up and Consistency: Manufacturing high-performance composites in large volumes with consistent quality remains challenging. Cycle time reduction is critical; even though processes like compression molding and HP-RTM have sped up, not all parts lend themselves to those methods. Complex parts may need labor-intensive lay-ups or multiple curing stages. There is also the issue of quality consistency – small variations in fiber alignment or filler dispersion can lead to big swings in properties. The automotive industry's Six Sigma quality expectations are tough to meet when dealing with, say, hand-laid fibers or nanoparticle dispersions. Automation is the answer, but robots and automated systems need to handle flexible textiles and viscous mixes, which is non-trivial. Significant R&D is going into automated fiber placement, vision systems for fiber orientation verification, and in-line monitoring of resin/filler mix. Additionally, tooling costs for composites can be high (though they come down with volume). In contrast, metal stamping dies are expensive but can produce millions of parts quickly – composites haven't reached that level of productivity except in a few cases. So the challenge is partly economic: developing manufacturing methods that can produce tens of thousands of composite suspension parts per day economically. In the near term, composites may be restricted to lower volume or specialty models unless these manufacturing efficiencies improve.

Cost of Materials: Carbon fiber, high-performance resins, and nano-ceramics are all more expensive per unit weight than steel or aluminum. Although only small amounts of nano-fillers are used, the fibers and resins dominate cost. The industry has been working to lower carbon fiber cost (e.g. using cheaper precursors, scaling production) and some success is noted – the cost of industrial carbon fiber has dropped compared to a decade ago due to higher demand and better processes. Glass fiber is quite cheap, so GFRP composites can be cost-competitive. However, adding novel ceramics can quickly raise costs again. There is also the aspect of scrap and waste – composite manufacturing can produce more scrap. Recycling or repurposing composite scrap is an ongoing challenge; unlike steel, you can't simply melt down thermoset composites. Techniques like grinding scrap GFRP and using it as filler in SMC exist, but for carbon fiber and ceramic hybrids, maintaining value is hard. This affects cost because waste adds cost. Life-cycle considerations might come into play too, where automakers need recyclable materials to meet regulations. There is active research in recyclable composites, such as thermoplastic composites that can be remelted. These could allow easier recycling or reshaping of scrap, partially alleviating cost issues by reusing material. Another angle is material sourcing: ceramics like B_4C or continuous SiC fibers are specialty items with limited suppliers, keeping prices high. As demand from aerospace/defense for CMCs grows, we might see better availability and lower cost that trickles down to automotive.

Design and Analysis Knowledge Gap: Designing with composites is more complex than with homogeneous metals. Engineers need to consider anisotropy, different failure modes, and the interaction of multiple materials. Traditional suspension design codes and safety factors are based on metals; adapting these for composites is a challenge. While finite element analysis (FEA) tools for composites exist, accurate simulation of damage and progressive failure in a ceramic-filled composite remains a dynamic area of research. In particular, multi-scale modeling has emerged as a powerful framework for capturing the hierarchical nature of hybrid composites. This approach links atomistic, microscale, and macroscale phenomena – allowing accurate prediction of properties like stiffness, interfacial strength, and damage propagation. For example, representative volume elements (RVEs) constructed from microstructural images can be used to simulate stress distribution and interfacial debonding under cyclic loading, guiding material selection and design before fabrication. Furthermore, machine learning (ML) algorithms are being integrated into composite design workflows to accelerate discovery and optimization. Supervised learning models trained on experimental and simulated datasets can predict key mechanical or thermal properties as functions of reinforcement morphology, volume fraction, or interphase characteristics. Recent work by Champa-Bujaico *et al.* [99] demonstrated the use of neural networks to identify optimal nano-filler combinations for maximizing fracture toughness in polymer composites, reducing the need for costly trial-and-error fabrication. Similarly, genetic algorithms and Bayesian optimization have been applied to optimize process parameters such as curing temperature or mixing ratios for ceramic-filled composites. Another critical modeling advancement involves damage evolution simulations, which incorporate progressive failure mechanisms including matrix cracking, fiber breakage, and delamination. Cohesive zone models (CZMs) and continuum damage mechanics (CDM) approaches are now being used to simulate fatigue and impact behavior in hybrid composites. When combined with experimental validation, these models provide insights into how ceramic

inclusions affect crack initiation and growth. For instance, computational studies have shown how ceramic nanoparticles can deflect or arrest crack propagation, depending on particle-matrix bonding and dispersion uniformity.

Repair and Service Considerations: In the field, if a composite suspension part is damaged, it likely gets replaced, not repaired, because repairing load-bearing composites is not straightforward. This is acceptable if the part is modular and not too expensive. However, if composites become integrated into larger structures, then repairability becomes a question. Additionally, service technicians need to be trained to inspect composite parts for damage. Damage that might be invisible could be catastrophic, whereas a metal part usually shows a bend or crack externally. Some in the industry advocate for embedded health monitoring to signal if an overload occurs. Piezo-ceramic sensors or fiber-optic strain sensors could be built into critical composite suspension members to alert of damage, an approach that although currently costly, might see use in high-end applications for safety monitoring. Regulatory bodies might also require new inspection criteria.

Looking ahead, there are several promising avenues that could address current challenges and further enhance the capabilities of ceramic-incorporated composites in suspensions. Continued development of advanced fillers, such as 2D materials (graphene, MXenes) and novel nanoceramics, could provide composites with exceptional multi-functional properties. Graphene, while not ceramic, when used with ceramic particles can create a synergistic hybrid that improves both mechanical and electrical/thermal properties. Imagine a future composite control arm that is also a part of the vehicle's sensor network. Piezo-ceramic fibers or particles could be embedded to make self-damping or energy-harvesting suspension components – e.g. a composite leaf spring that generates a voltage as it flexes. Additionally, self-healing composites are being researched, where microcapsules or special additives repair cracks autonomously. If such technology matures, a small matrix crack in a composite arm could heal before growing, drastically improving durability.

While polymer and metal matrices have been the focus, ceramic matrix composites might find niches in suspensions of high-performance or extreme environment vehicles. For instance, an all-ceramic composite brake caliper or suspension knuckle that can operate at red-hot brake temperatures with virtually no deformation – this could be of interest in racing or heavy-duty applications. CMCs have ultra-high temperature capability and could allow braking systems integrated into suspension with reduced cooling needs. Their brittleness is an issue for impact loads, but perhaps a hybrid with a tough metal at attachment points could work. Recent advances in CMCs, particularly SiC-based systems, have opened new opportunities in high-temperature structural applications. For example, SiC-SiC composites used in aerospace are now being investigated for high-performance automotive components such as brake discs, knuckles, and suspension mounts where temperatures may exceed 600 °C. These CMCs offer excellent dimensional stability, thermal conductivity, and oxidation resistance. Research by Zhu *et al.* [100] demonstrated that C/C-SiC composites retained over 90 % flexural strength after 100 thermal cycles between 25 – 800 °C, highlighting their resilience. Although CMCs remain expensive, ongoing process innovations such as polymer infiltration pyrolysis (PIP) and rapid slurry infiltration are lowering costs and improving scalability. In motorsports, CMCs have already been deployed in suspension-linked components exposed to brake heat, indicating their readiness for niche but critical roles in advanced automotive systems.

In terms of getting these materials into more cars, a likely scenario is gradual insertion: first in luxury or performance vehicles, then trickling down as volumes increase and confidence builds. Electric vehicles might be a catalyst, since the range benefit of weight reduction is very valuable. Already, EV startups are looking at composites to offset battery weight. Another pathway is through modular components – for instance, selling a composite leaf spring as a retrofit option for pickups to improve ride and efficiency. If something like that gained aftermarket acceptance, it could push OEMs to consider it. Government regulations indirectly encourage lightweighting, thus indirectly composites. Perhaps in the future, mandates on embodied carbon could also favor composites if manufacturing becomes more energy-efficient or if they enable longer life. Collaboration between material suppliers and OEMs will be key – we see companies like SGL Carbon and Lanxess working closely with automakers to develop tailored composite solutions.

As vehicles incorporate more active suspension, there is an opportunity to integrate the material aspect with the system. For example, an active suspension link that is lightweight but also has embedded actuation. Or simply using composite springs which inherently can lower unsprung mass and thus improve the efficacy of active dampers. In the long run, we might see morphing structures – e.g. a composite anti-roll bar that can change its stiffness. These ideas are somewhat futuristic, but the flexibility of composite materials allows these cross-disciplinary innovations in a way that a solid steel part cannot easily achieve.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Science and Technology Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (Grant No. KJZD-K202303206)

References

1 Jiregna, I.T., Sirata, G.: A review of the vehicle suspension system, *J. Mech. Energy Eng.*, **4**, 109 – 114 (2020). doi: https://doi.org/10.30464/jmee.2020.4.2.109

2 Zou, X., Zhang, B., Yin, G.: Analysis of stiffness and damping performance of the composite leaf spring, *Sci. Rep.*, **12**, 6842, (2022). doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-11055-5

3 Abera, S.N., Gebreyesus, B.Y.: Design analysis and optimization of coil spring for three-wheeler vehicles using composite materials, *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, **2024**, 1 – 30, (2024). doi: https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/4479427

4 Yadav, R.S., Nimbalkar, A., Gadekar, T., Patil, P., Patil, V.N., Gholap, A.B., Kurhade, A.S., Dhumal,

J.R., Waware, S.Y.: Comparison of experimental and numerical investigation of mono-composite and metal leaf spring, *J. Mines Met. Fuels*, 815–827, (2024). doi: https://doi.org/10.18311/jmmf/2024/45325

5 Et. Al., Z.G.A.A.-J.: Enhancement of mechanical properties of hybrid composite materials, *Turk. J. Comput. Math. Educ. TURCOMAT.*, **12**, 298–302, (2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.17762/turcomat.v12i11.5873

6 Kumai, S., King, J.E., Knott, J.F.: Fatigue in SiC-particulate-reinforced aluminium alloy composites, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A.*, **146**, 317–326, (1991).

7 Gaylo, R., Farahani, S., Schmueser, D., Pilla, S.: Optimization of a mono-composite leaf spring using a hybrid fiber-layup approach, *Int. J. Interact. Des. Manuf. Ijidem*, **14**, 407–421 (2020). doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12008-019-00636-w

8 Su, J., Zhang, X., Li, J., Guo, H., Wang, B., Bai, Z.: Al_2O_3 fiber-reinforced MAX phase ceramic matrix composite, *Ceram. Int.*, **50**, 25400–25411, (2024). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2024.04.272

9 Mondal, S., Mondal, P., Mishra, D.P.: Research progress on ceramic nanomaterials reinforced aluminum matrix nanocomposites, *Mater. Sci. Technol.*, **39**, 1841–1857, (2023). doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/02670836.2023.2187153

10 Sharath, B.N., Venkatesh, C.V., Afzal, A., Aslfattahi, N., Aabid, A., Baig, M., Saleh, B.: Multi ceramic particles inclusion in the aluminium matrix and wear characterization through experimental and response surface-artificial neural networks, *Materials*, **14**, 2895 (2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14112895

11 Wazeer, A., Das, A., Abeykoon, C., Sinha, A., Karmakar, A.: Composites for electric vehicles and automotive sector: A review, *Green Energy Intell. Transp.*, **2**, 100043, (2023). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geits.2022.100043

12 Composites Transform Vehicle Design: Innovative Materials in Automotive Construction, https://www.cdxlearning.com/blog-page/cdx/2025/02/03/composites-transform-vehicle-design-automotive-construction

13 Fengkun, L., Yuqin, Y., Shuqin, L., Dongbo, W., Shiyuan, W., Feng, D., Baozhang, D., Zhangzhong, W., Pingze, Z.: Wear resistance of Al_2O_3/WC-Co/epoxy coatings on TC18 (Ti-5Al-5Mo-5V-1Cr-1Fe) alloy, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, **374**, 1100–1107, (2019). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2019.05.014

14 Nagaraja, A.M., Gururaja, S., Udayakumar, A.: Tensile behavior of ceramic matrix minicomposites with engineered interphases fabricated by chemical vapor infiltration, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, **42**, 2659–2671, (2022). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2022.01.047

15 Kota, N., Charan, M.S., Laha, T., Roy, S.: Review on development of metal/ceramic interpenetrating phase composites and critical analysis of their properties, *Ceram. Int.*, **48**, 1451–1483, (2022). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2021.09.232

16 Zhang, J., Yu, Q., Wang, Q., Li, J., Zhang, Z., Wang, T., Shuang, S., Fang, Q., Zeng, Q., Yang, Y.: Strong yet ductile high entropy alloy derived nanostructured cermet, *Nano Lett.*, **22**, 7370–7377, (2022). doi: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c02097

17 Pariyar, A., Perugu, C.S., Toth, L.S., Kailas, S.V.: Microstructure and mechanical behavior of polymer-derived in-situ ceramic reinforced lightweight aluminum matrix composite, *J. Alloy. Compd.*, **880**, 160430, (2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2021.160430

18 Kaya, E.: Ceramic reinforced composite coatings on cold work tool steel fabricated by wired direct energy deposited plasma, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, **475**, 130127, (2023). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2023.130127

19 Veeresh Kumar, G.B., Gopinath Reddy, D., Vineeth Reddy, C., Sriteja, C., Pramod, R.: Investigation of mechanical and tribological properties of Al6061 – TiB_2 metal matrix composites, *Mater. Perform. Charact.*, **9**, 139–150, (2020). doi: https://doi.org/10.1520/MPC20190173

20 Atmakuri, A., Palevicius, A., Vilkauskas, A., Janusas, G.: Review of hybrid fiber based composites with nano particles – material properties and applications, *Polymers*, **12**, 2088, (2020). doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12092088

21 Wetzel, B., Haupert, F., Zhang, M.Q.: Epoxy nanocomposites with high mechanical and tribological performance, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, **63**, 2055–2067, (2003).

22 Nagaraju, S.B., Puttegowda, M., Somashekara, M.K., Thyavihalli Girijappa, Y.G., Govindaswamy, P.D., Sathyanarayana, K.: Advancing the performance of ceramic - reinforced aluminum hybrid composites: A comprehensive review and future perspectives, *Appl. Sci. Eng. Prog.*, (2023). doi: https://doi.org/10.14416/j.asep.2023.10.001

23 Shrivastava, S., Rajak, D.K., Joshi, T., Singh, D.K., Mondal, D.P.: Ceramic matrix composites: classifications, manufacturing, properties, and applications, *Ceramics*, **7**, 652–679, (2024). doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/ceramics7020043

24 Ye, J., Chen, X., Luo, H., Zhao, J., Li, J., Tan, J., Yang, H., Feng, B., Zheng, K., Pan, F.: Microstructure, mechanical properties and wear resistance of ti particles reinforced AZ31 magnesium matrix composites, *J. Magnes. Alloys.*, **10**, 2266–2279, (2022). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jma.2022.06.012

25 Zhang, S., Wang, X., Yang, J., Chen, H., Jiang, X.: Micromechanical interlocking structure at the filler/resin interface for dental composites: a review, *Int. J. Oral Sci.*, **15**, 21, (2023). doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41368-023-00226-3

26 Du, A., Lattanzi, L., Jarfors, A.W.E., Zheng, J., Wang, K., Yu, G.: On the hardness and elastic modulus of phases in SiC-reinforced al composite: role of la and ce addition, *Materials*, **14**, 6287, (2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14216287

27 Gupta, R., Nanda, T., Pandey, O.P.: Comparison of wear behaviour of LM13 Al-Si alloy based composites reinforced with synthetic (B_4C) and natural (ilmenite) ceramic particles, *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China*, **31**, 3613–3625, (2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326(21)65752-7

28 Ozturk, K., Gecu, R., Karaaslan, A.: Microstructure, wear and corrosion characteristics of multiple-reinforced (SiC-B_4C-Al_2O_3) al matrix composites produced by liquid metal infiltration, *Ceram. Int.*, **47**, 18274–18285, (2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2021.03.147

29 Yang, M., Zhang, C., Su, G., Dong, Y., Mekuria, T.D., Qingtao Lv: Preparation and wear resistance properties of thermosetting polyimide composites containing solid lubricant fillers, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, **241**, 122034, (2020). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2019.122034

30 Kumar, B.A., Krishnan, M.M., Sahayaraj, A.F., Refaai, M.R.A., Yuvaraj, G., Madhesh, D., Allasi, H.L.: Characterization of the aluminium matrix composite reinforced with silicon nitride (AA6061/Si_3N_4) synthesized by the stir casting route, *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, **2022**, 1–8, (2022). doi: https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8761865

31 Qi, Y., Sun, B., Zhang, Y., Gao, G., Zhang, P., Zheng, X.: Study of tribological properties and evolution of morphological characteristics of transfer films in PTFE composites synergistically reinforced with nano-ZrO_2 and PEEK particles, *Polymers*, **15**, 3626, (2023). doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15173626

32 Bafakeeh, O.T., Shewakh, W.M., Abu-Oqail, A., Abd-Elaziem, W., Abdel Ghafaar, M., Abu-Okail, M.: Synthesis and characterization of hybrid fiber-reinforced polymer by adding

ceramic nanoparticles for aeronautical structural applications. *Polymers*, **13**, 4116, (2021).
doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13234116

33 Zou, Z., Qin, Y., Fu, H., Zhu, D., Li, Z., Huang, Z.: ZrO_2f-coated cf hybrid fibrous reinforcements and properties of their reinforced ceramicizable phenolic resin matrix composites, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, **41**, 1810 – 1816, (2021).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2020.08.034

34 Yan, L., Xu, H.: Lightweight composite materials in automotive engineering: State-of-the-art and future trends, *Alex. Eng. J.*, **118**, 1 – 10, (2025).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2024.12.002

35 Zhang, X., Zhang, T., Yi, Z., Yan, L., Liu, S., Yao, X., Guo, A., Liu, J., Hou, F.: Multiscale mullite fiber/whisker reinforced silica aerogel nanocomposites with enhanced compressive strength and thermal insulation performance, *Ceram. Int.*, **46**, 28561 – 28568, (2020).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2020.08.013

36 Kessel, F., Klopsch, L., Jehle, V., Biller, N.-J., Friess, M., Shi, Y., Cepli, D., Keck, M., Jemmali, R.: Wet-laid nonwoven based ceramic matrix composites: an innovative and highly adaptable short fiber reinforcement for ceramic hybrid and gradient materials, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, **41**, 4048 – 4057, (2021).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2021.02.040

37 Wang, G., Zhang, Y., Zou, B., Liu, Y., Zheng, S., Li, X., Yan, W., Li, Z., Wang, Y.M.: Enhanced plasticity due to melt pool flow induced uniform dispersion of reinforcing particles in additively manufactured metallic composites, *Int. J. Plast.*, **164**, 103591, (2023).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijplas.2023.103591

38 Guo, Z., Wang, K., Cui, B., Tan, Z., Zhao, L., Fan, G., Li, Z., Li, Z., Zhang, D.: Ultrastrong and ductile Al-Mg alloy matrix composites via composition-modulated precipitation induced by intragranular ceramic nanoparticles, *Compos. Part B Eng.*, **291**, 112012, (2025).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2024.112012

39 Jo, M.C., Choi, J.H., Yoo, J., Lee, D., Shin, S., Jo, I., Lee, S.-K., Lee, S.: Novel dynamic compressive and ballistic properties in 7075-T6 Al-matrix hybrid composite reinforced with SiC and B4C particulates, *Compos. Part B Eng.*, **174**, 107041, (2019).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2019.107041

40 Park, J., Park, S.Y., Lee, D., Song, Y.S.: Shape memory polymer composites embedded with hybrid ceramic microparticles, *Smart Mater. Struct.*, **29**, 55037, (2020).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-665X/ab5e53

41 Mann, V.S., Pandey, O.P.: Effect of dual particle size corundum particles on the tribological properties of LM30 aluminium alloy composites for brake rotor applications, *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.*, **46**, 12445 – 12463, (2021).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-021-05939-3

42 Ravindran, A.R., Ladani, R.B., Wang, C.H., Mouritz, A.P.: Synergistic delamination toughening of composites using multi-scale carbon reinforcements, *Compos. Part B Eng.*, **161**, 18 – 28, (2019).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.10.031

43 Zhang, Y., Yu, N.: The fiber/matrix interface in ceramic-matrix composites. In: Composites and Functionally Graded Materials. pp. 375 – 377. American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Dallas, Texas, USA (1997)

44 Besmann, T.M., Stinton, D.P., Kupp, E.R., Shanmugham, S., Liaw, P.K.: Fiber-matrix interfaces in ceramic composites, MRS Proc., **458**, 147, (1996).
https://doi.org/10.1557/PROC-458-147

45 Seyferth, D., Bryson, N., Workman, D.P., Sobon, C.A.: Preceramic polymers as "reagents" in the preparation of ceramics, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, **74**, 2687 – 2689, (1991).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1991.tb06824.x

46 Dimitriadi, M., Zafiropoulou, M., Zinelis, S., Silikas, N., Eliades, G.: Silane reactivity and resin bond strength to lithium disilicate ceramic surfaces, *Dent. Mater.*, **35**, 1082 – 1094, (2019).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2019.05.002

47 Arun Prakash, V.R., Rajadurai, A.: Inter laminar shear strength behavior of acid, base and silane treated E-glass fibre epoxy resin composites on drilling process, *Def. Technol.*, **13**, 40 – 46, (2017). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dt.2016.11.004

48 Chowdhury, S.C., Prosser, R., Sirk, T.W., Elder, R.M., Gillespie, J.W.: Glass fiber-epoxy interactions in the presence of silane: A molecular dynamics study, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, **542**, 148738, (2021).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.148738

49 Wei, W., Xia, S., Liu, G., Gu, X., Jin, W., Xu, N.: Interfacial adhesion between polymer separation layer and ceramic support for composite membrane, *AIChE J.*, **56**, 1584 – 1592, (2010).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.12086

50 Zhang, G., Zhao, P., Zhang, X., Han, K., Zhao, T., Zhang, Y., Jeong, C.K., Jiang, S., Zhang, S., Wang, Q.: Flexible three-dimensional interconnected piezoelectric ceramic foam based composites for highly efficient concurrent mechanical and thermal energy harvesting, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **11**, 2046 – 2056, (2018).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EE00595H

51 Konopka, K., Olszówka-Myalska, A., Szafran, M.: Ceramic-metal composites with an interpenetrating network, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, **81**, 329 – 332, (2003).
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0254-0584(02)00595-3

52 Yang, H.-Y., Wang, Z., Chen, L.-Y., Shu, S.-L., Qiu, F., Zhang, L.-C.: Interface formation and bonding control in high-volume-fraction ($TiC+TiB_2$)/Al composites and their roles in enhancing properties, *Compos. Part B Eng.*, **209**, 108605, (2021).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2021.108605

53 Zhong, Z., Jiang, X., Sun, H., Wu, Z., Yang, L., Matamoros-Veloza, A.: Recent research on the optimization of interfacial structure and interfacial interaction mechanisms of metal matrix composites: A review, *Adv. Eng. Mater.*, **26**, 2401392, (2024).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.202401392

54 Kim, J.-K., Mai, Y.: High strength, high fracture toughness fibre composites with interface control – a review, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, **41**, 333 – 378, (1991).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0266-3538(91)90072-W

55 Wang, Z., Wang, K., Huang, H., Cui, X., Shi, X., Ma, X., Li, B., Zhang, Z., Tang, X., Chiang, M.Y.M.: Bioinspired wear-resistant and ultradurable functional gradient coatings, *Small*, **14**, 1802717, (2018).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201802717

56 Ahmad, Z., Chen, J., Chen, H., Hafsa, Arfan, M., Shahid, T., Li, X., Hussain, Z.: Tailoring structural and mechanical properties of cf/SiC ceramic matrix composites with BN/SiAlC interphases, *Ceram. Int.*, **51**, 5799 – 5807, (2025).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2024.12.026

57 Damadam, M., Shao, S., Ayoub, G., Zbib, H.M.: Recent advances in modeling of interfaces and mechanical behavior of multilayer metallic/ceramic composites, *J. Mater. Sci.*, **53**, 5604 – 5617, (2018).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-017-1704-3

58 Selvakumar, S., Dinaharan, I., Palanivel, R., Ganesh Babu, B.: Characterization of molybdenum particles reinforced Al6082 aluminum matrix composites with improved ductility produced using friction stir processing, *Mater. Charact.*, **125**, 13 – 22, (2017).
doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2017.01.016

[59] A., N., Taha, M., Ibrahim, A.M.M., A. K., A.: Role of hybrid nanofiller GNPs/Al_2O_3 on enhancing the mechanical and tribological performance of HDPE composite, *Sci. Rep.*, **13**, 12447, (2023). doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-39172-9

[60] Lv, T., Zhang, Y., Duan, Y., Yang, J.: Kinematics & compliance analysis of double wishbone air suspension with frictions and joint clearances, *Mech. Mach. Theory*, **156**, 104127, (2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2020.104127

[61] Safi, M., Hassanzadeh-Aghdam, M.K., Mahmoodi, M.J.: Effects of nano-sized ceramic particles on the coefficients of thermal expansion of short SiC fiber-aluminum hybrid composites, *J. Alloy. Compd.*, **803**, 554 – 564, (2019). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2019.06.314

[62] Zhao, Y., Li, Z., Li, Y., Wu, J., Gao, Z., Wu, C., Yu, X., Jin, M., Wen, G., Zhou, H.: Effect of ceramic particle shape on wear resistance mechanism of zirconia toughened alumina ceramic reinforced high chromium cast iron architectural composite, *Ceram. Int.,* **50**, 11370 – 11378, (2024). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2024.01.037

[63] Iwai, Y., Yoneda, H., Honda, T.: Sliding wear behavior of SiC whisker-reinforced aluminum composite, *Wear*, **181**, 594 – 602, (1995).

[64] Xiong, D.S., Lin, J.M., Liu, L.L.: Tribological properties of nano-Al_2O_3/PEEK composites, *Key Eng. Mater.*, **330**, 1239 – 1242, (2007).

[65] Cui, S., Liu, Y., Wang, T., Tieu, K., Wang, L., Zeng, D., Li, Z., Li, W.: Tribological behavior comparisons of high chromium stainless and mild steels against high-speed steel and ceramics at high temperatures, *Friction*, **10**, 436 – 453, (2022). doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40544-021-0509-1

[66] Panin, S.V., Nguyen, D.A., Buslovich, D.G., Alexenko, V.O., Pervikov, A.V., Kornienko, L.A., Berto, F.: Effect of various type of nanoparticles on mechanical and tribological properties of wear-resistant PEEK + PTFE-based composites, *Materials*, **14**, 1113, (2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14051113

[67] Zhu, J., Ma, L., Dwyer-Joyce, R.: Friction and wear behaviours of self-lubricating peek composites for articulating pin joints, *Tribol. Int.*, **149**, 105741, (2020). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2019.04.025

[68] Zhu, J., Xie, F., Dwyer-Joyce, R.S.: PEEK composites as self-lubricating bush materials for articulating revolute pin joints, *Polymers*, **12**, 665, (2020). doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12030665

[69] Prashanth, M., Karunanithi, R., Sivasankaran, S., MilicaVlahovic, Bhowmik, A.: Synergistic strengthening mechanism and microstructural evolution of al-zn-Mg-Cu/Al_2O_3/Y_2O_3 hybrid nanocomposite via mechanical alloying and hot pressing, *Powder Technol.*, **434**, 119377, (2024). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2024.119377

[70] Xi, L., Feng, L., Gu, D., Prashanth, K.G., Kaban, I., Wang, R., Xiong, K., Sarac, B., Eckert, J.: Microstructure formation and mechanical performance of micro-nanoscale ceramic reinforced aluminum matrix composites manufactured by laser powder bed fusion, *J. Alloy. Compd.*, **939**, 168803, (2023). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2023.168803

[71] Riding pretty: Hybrid upper control arms move to pickups, https://www.compositesworld.com/articles/riding-pretty-hybrid-upper-control-arms-move-to-pickups

[72] He, J., Wang, Y., Shen, Z., Xia, L., Xiong, Y.: Assembled mechanical metamaterials with integrated functionalities of programmable multistability and multitransition behaviors, *Mater. Horiz.*, **11**, 6371 – 6380, (2024). doi: https://doi.org/10.1039/D4MH00906A

[73] Singh, J., Chauhan, A.: Overview of wear performance of aluminium matrix composites reinforced with ceramic materials under the influence of controllable variables, *Ceram. Int.*, **42**, 56 – 81, (2016). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2015.08.150

[74] Kampker, A., Kreiskother, K., Lutz, N., Gauckler, V., Hehl, M.: Re-ramp-up management of scalable production systems in the automotive industry. In: 2019 8th International Conference on Industrial Technology and Management (ICITM). pp. 137 – 141. IEEE, Cambridge, United Kingdom, (2019).

[75] Ball, C.A., Greydanus, S., Swentek, I., Nara, K.R.: Development of an epoxy carbon fiber reinforced roof frame using the high pressure resin transfer molding (HP-RTM) process, Presented at the WCX SAE World Congress Experience April 14 (2020).

[76] Lu, Q., Lin, J., Luo, L., Zhang, Y., Zhu, W.: A supervised approach for automated surface defect detection in ceramic tile quality control, *Adv. Eng. Inform.*, **53**, 101692, (2022). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2022.101692

[77] Yang, M., Yang, L., Zheng, J., Hondow, N., Bourne, R.A., Bailey, T., Irons, G., Sutherland, E., Lavric, D., Wu, K.-J.: Mixing performance and continuous production of nanomaterials in an advanced-flow reactor, *Chem. Eng. J.*, **412**, 128565. (2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.128565

[78] October 2015, ams_jamesbakewell16: Added fibre, https://www.automotivemanufacturingsolutions.com/added-fibre/34584.article

[79] Canegrati, A., Bernasconi, A., Martulli, L.M., Barriga, P., Previati, G., Fiumarella, D., Scattina, A., Spini, E., Belingardi, G., Mastinu, G.: Experimental characterization of a polymer metal hybrid (PMH) automotive structure under quasi-static, creep, and impact loading, *Compos. Struct.*, **330**, 117813, (2024). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2023.117813

[80] Ni, W., Dong, X., Lu, W.-S.: Near-optimal hybrid processing for massive MIMO systems via matrix decomposition, *IEEE Trans. Signal Process*, **65**, 3922 – 3933, (2017). doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2017.2699643

[81] Krimpenis, A.A., Noeas, G.D.: Application of hybrid manufacturing processes in microfabrication, *J. Manuf. Process.*, **80**, 328 – 346, (2022). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2022.06.009

[82] Sansana, J., Joswiak, M.N., Castillo, I., Wang, Z., Rendall, R., Chiang, L.H., Reis, M.S.: Recent trends on hybrid modeling for Industry 4.0. *Comput. Chem. Eng.*, **151**, 107365, (2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2021.107365

[83] Hägele, N., Sonsino, C.M.: Structural durability design recommendations for forged automotive aluminium chassis components submitted to spectrum and environmental loadings by the example of a tension strut, *Int. J. Fatigue*, **69**, 63 – 70, (2014). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2012.03.015

[84] Nurnajihah, N., Nasir, M., Abdullah, S., Singh, S., Singh, K. Haris, S.M.: Evaluation of Reliability-based fatigue strain data analysis for an automobile suspension under various road condition, *Int. J. Integr. Eng.*, **10**, (2018). doi: https://doi.org/10.30880/ijie.2018.10.05.009

[85] Fuchs, E., Field, F., Roth, R., Kirchain, R.: Strategic materials selection in the automobile body: economic opportunities for polymer composite design, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, **68,** 1989 – 2002, (2008). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2008.01.015

[86] Chalasani, S., Potukuchi, S., Rayasam, S., Narayanamurthy, V., Chinthapenta, V.: Mechanical characterization of high-strength carbon-epoxy composite laminates, *Mater. Today Proc.*, **108**, 80 – 85, (2024). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2023.09.092

[87] Yu, S., Zhang, Z., Wang, Q., Jia, H., Ren, M.: Effect of nano-silica modified resin on the interface strength and toughness of al/CFRP hybrid laminates, *Thin Walled Struct.*, **200**, 111967, (2024). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2024.111967

[88] Baumann, A., Hausmann, J.: Compression fatigue testing setups for composites – a review, *Adv. Eng. Mater.*, **23**, 2000646, (2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.202000646

[89] Agrawal, A.P., Srivastava, S.K.: Investigations of fatigue crack growth rate behaviour and life prediction of Si_3N_4/TiB_2 reinforced hybrid metal matrix composites, *Int. J. Fatigue*, **186**, 108373, (2024). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2024.108373

[90] Ke, J., Wu, Z., Chen, X., Ying, Z.: A review on material selection, design method and performance investigation of composite leaf springs, *Compos. Struct.*, **226**, 111277, (2019).

[91] Dubois, F., Mendibide, C., Pagnier, T., Perrard, F., Duret, C.: Raman mapping of corrosion products formed onto spring steels during salt spray experiments. A correlation between the scale composition and the corrosion resistance, *Corros. Sci.*, **50**, 3401 – 3409, (2008). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2008.09.027

[92] Varma, N., Ahuja, R., Vijayakumar, T., Kannan, C.: Design and analysis of composite mono leaf spring for passenger cars, *Mater. Today Proc.*, **46**, 7090 – 7098, (2021).

[93] Komurcu, E., Kefal, A., Abdollahzadeh, M.A., Basoglu, M.F., Kisa, E., Yildiz, M.: Towards composite suspension control arm: conceptual design, structural analysis, laminate optimization, manufacturing, and experimental testing, *Compos. Struct.*, **327**, 117704, (2024). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2023.117704

[94] Nouigues, A., Le Gal La Salle, E., Bailleul, J.-L.: Thermo-mechanical characterization of unsaturated polyester/glass fiber composites for recycling, *Int. J. Mater. Form.*, **14**, 153 – 174, (2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12289-020-01559-8

[95] Makarian, K., Santhanam, S., Wing, Z.N.: Coefficient of thermal expansion of particulate composites with ceramic inclusions, *Ceram. Int.*, **42**, 17659 – 17665, (2016). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2016.08.082

[96] Afshar, A., Mihut, D., Baqersad, J., Hill, S.: Study of metallic thin films on epoxy matrix as protective barrier to ultraviolet radiation, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, **367**, 41 – 48, (2019). doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2019.03.062

[97] Daehn, G.S., Breslin, M.C.: Co-continuous composite materials for friction and braking applications, *JOM*, **58**, 87 – 91, (2006). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11837-006-0235-1

[98] Nayak, S., Sadarang, J., Panigrahi, I., Nayak, R.K., Maurya, M.: Optimization of composite leaf spring for reduced weight and improved noise, vibration, and harshness in an electric vehicle, *Noise Vib. Worldw.*, **51**, 127 – 138, (2020). doi: https://doi.org/10.1177/0957456520923319

[99] Champa-Bujaico, E., García-Díaz, P., Díez-Pascual, A.M.: Machine learning for property prediction and optimization of polymeric nanocomposites: a state-of-the-art, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, **23**, 10712, (2022).

[100] Zhu, S., Zhang, G., Bao, Y., Sun, D., Zhang, Q., Meng, X., Hu, Y., Yan, L.: Progress in preparation and ablation resistance of ultra-high-temperature ceramics modified C/C composites for extreme environment, *Rev. Adv. Mater. Sci.*, **62**, 20220276, (2023).