Effect of interface oxidation on matrix multi-cracking evolution of fiber-reinforced ceramic-matrix composites at elevated temperature
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Abstract

In this paper, the effect of fiber/matrix interface oxidation on matrix multi-cracking evolution of fiber-reinforced ceramic-matrix composites (CMCs) is investigated using the critical matrix strain energy (CMSE) criterion. The shear-lag model combined with the fiber/matrix interface oxidation model and fiber/matrix interface debonding criterion is adopted to analyze the fiber and matrix axial stress distribution inside of the damaged composite. The relationships between matrix multi-cracking, fiber/matrix interface debonding and oxidation are established. The effects of fiber volume fraction, fiber/matrix interface shear stress, fiber/matrix interface debonded energy, oxidation temperature and oxidation time on the stress-dependent matrix multi-cracking development are discussed. Comparisons of matrix multi-cracking evolution with/without oxidation are analyzed. The experimental matrix multi-cracking development of unidirectional C/SiC, SiC/CAS, SiC/Borosilicate and mini-SiC/SiC composites with/without oxidation are predicted.
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I. Introduction

Ceramic materials possess high specific strength and specific modulus at elevated temperatures. But their use as structural components is severely limited because of their brittleness. Continuous fiber-reinforced ceramic-matrix composites (CMCs), based on the incorporation of fibers in ceramic matrices, however, not only exploit their attractive high-temperature strength but also reduce the propensity for catastrophic failure. CMC flaps for exhaust nozzles of SNECMA M53 and M88 aero engines have been used for more than a decade. CMC turbine vanes have been designed and tested in aero engine environment as part of the implementation of the Ultra Efficient Engine Technology (UEET) program. A CMC turbine blade has been tested for four hours by General Electric in a modified GE F414 engine, which represents the first application of CMCs in a rotating engine part. With the incorporation of CMC turbine blades in a GE90-sized engine, the overall weight can be reduced by 455 kg, which represents approximately 6% of dry weight of a full-sized GE90 – 115. The density and openings of these cracks depend on the fiber architecture, fiber/matrix interface bonding intensity, applied load and environments. It is important to develop an understanding of matrix multi-cracking damage mechanisms considering oxidation damage mechanisms.

Many researchers have performed experimental and theoretical investigations on matrix multi-cracking evolution of fiber-reinforced CMCs. Pryce and Smith analyzed the damage evolution of unidirectional and cross-ply SiC/calcium aluminosilicate (CAS) glass-ceramic composites at the applied stress above the first matrix cracking stress determined using the Aveston-Cooper-Kelly (ACK) model. Beyerle et al. predicted the first matrix cracking stress and ultimate tensile strength of unidirectional SiC/CAS-II composite without considering fiber failure. Holmes and Cho found that the frictional heating at the specimen surface of unidirectional SiC/CAS-II was related to the matrix cracking density at the same applied stress, stress ratio and fatigue loading frequency. Kuo and Chou predicted the first matrix cracking stress and ultimate tensile strength of unidirectional SiC/CAS-II composite without considering fiber failure. Holmes and Cho found that the frictional heating at the specimen surface of unidirectional SiC/CAS-II was related to the matrix cracking density at the same applied stress, stress ratio and fatigue loading frequency. Kuo and Chou predicted the first matrix cracking stress of unidirectional and cross-ply CMCs using the energy balance approach. Okabe et al. established the relationship between matrix cracking, fiber/matrix interface...
The composite. However, at elevated temperature, the material and do not propagate through the entire thickness of the composite. The first long matrix cracking stress coincides with the knee point of the nonlinearity in the stress/strain curve. Morscher et al. 25 established the relationships for stress-dependent matrix cracking of 2D woven Hi-Nicalon™ and Sylramic-iBN SiC fiber-reinforced chemical vapor infiltrated (CVI) SiC matrix composites, which related to the stress in the load-bearing CVI SiC matrix. Morscher and Gordon 26 monitored the matrix cracking of Hi-Nicalon Type STM fibers, a boron-nitride interphase, and pre-impregnated melt-infiltrated silicon/SiC matrix composite using acoustic emission (AE) and electrical resistance (ER). AE can monitor the transverse matrix cracking and fiber break, and ER is capable of monitoring damage owing to the change in the flow of current through the material when matrix cracks form. Racle et al. 27 established the relationship between the characteristic time of 25 % total fatigue lifetime and the beginning of the matrix cracking using AE. Solti et al. 28 developed an approach of critical matrix strain energy (CMSE) criterion to analyze matrix multi-cracking evolution without considering the damage occurring in the fiber/matrix interface. Rajan and Zok 29 investigated the mechanics of a fully bridged steady-state matrix cracking in unidirectional CMCs under shear loading. In the studies mentioned above, however, the effect of fiber/matrix interface oxidation on matrix multi-cracking evolution of fiber-reinforced CMCs at elevated temperatures has not been investigated.

In this paper, the effect of fiber/matrix interface oxidation on matrix multi-cracking evolution of fiber-reinforced CMCs is investigated using the critical matrix strain energy criterion. The shear-lag model combined with the interface oxidation model and fiber/matrix interface debonding criterion is adopted to analyze the fiber and matrix axial stress distribution inside the damaged composite. The relationships between matrix multi-cracking, fiber/matrix interface debonding and oxidation are established. The effects of fiber volume fraction, fiber/matrix interface shear stress, fiber/matrix interface debonded energy, oxidation temperature and oxidation time on the stress-dependent matrix-multi-cracking evolution are discussed. Comparisons of matrix multi-cracking evolution with/without oxidation are analyzed. The experimental matrix multi-cracking development of unidirectional C/ SiC, SiC/CAS, SiC/borosilicate and mini-SiC/SiC composites with/without oxidation are predicted.

II. Theoretical Analysis

1. Stress analysis

Because of the mismatch of the axial thermal expansion coefficient between the carbon fiber and silicon carbide matrix, i.e. -0.38 x 10⁻⁶/K vs 4.6 x 10⁻⁶/K, microcracks unavoidably formed within the SiC matrix when the composite was cooled down from the high fabrication temperature to the ambient temperature. These processing-induced microcracks mainly exist in the surface of the material and do not propagate through the entire thickness of the composite. However, at elevated temperature, the microcracks serve as avenues for the ingress of the environment atmosphere into the composite. The oxygen reacts with carbon layer along the fiber length at a certain rate of dζ/dt, in which ζ is the length of carbon lost in each side of the crack 30.

\[ \zeta = \varphi_1 \left[ 1 - \exp \left( \frac{\varphi_2 t}{b} \right) \right] \]  

where \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) are parameters dependent on temperature and described using the Arrhenius-type laws; and \( b \) is a delay factor considering the deceleration of reduced oxygen activity.

The composite with fiber volume fraction \( V_f \) is loaded by a remote uniform stress \( \sigma \) normal to the crack plane, as shown in Fig. 1. The fiber radius is \( r_f \) and the matrix radius is \( R \) (\( R = r_f/V_f^{1/2} \)). The length of the unit cell is half matrix crack spacing \( l_c/2 \), and the interface oxidation length and interface debonded length are \( \zeta \) and \( l_d \), respectively. In the oxidation region, the fiber/matrix interface is resisted by a constant frictional shear stress \( \tau_f \) and in the debonded region, the interface is resisted by \( \tau_i \), which is higher than \( \tau_f \). For the interface debonded region, the force equilibrium equation of the fiber is given by the following equation:

\[ \frac{d\sigma_f(z)}{dz} = -\frac{2\tau_i(z)}{r_f} \]  

The boundary conditions at the crack plane \( z = 0 \) are given by the following equations:

\[ \sigma_f(z = 0) = \frac{\sigma}{V_f} \]  
\[ \sigma_a(z = 0) = 0 \]  

The total axial stresses satisfy the following equation:

\[ V_f\sigma_f(z) + V_a\sigma_a(z) = \sigma \]  

Solving Eq. (2) and (5) with the boundary conditions given by Eq. (3) and (4), and the fiber/matrix interface shear stress in the oxidation and debonded region, the fiber and matrix axial stresses in the interface oxidation and debonded region, i.e. \( 0 < z < l_d \), can be determined with the following equations:
\[
\sigma_i(z) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\sigma}{V_i} - \frac{2\tau_i z}{\eta_i} & z \in (0, \zeta) \\
\frac{\sigma}{V_i} - \frac{2\tau_i \zeta}{\eta_i} - \frac{2\tau_i (z-\zeta)}{\eta_i} & z \in (\zeta, l_d) 
\end{cases} \quad (6)
\]

\[
\sigma_m(z) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{V_m \tau_i}{V_i} z, z \in (0, \zeta) \\
\frac{V_m \tau_i}{V_i} z + \frac{2V_m \tau_i (z-\zeta)}{\eta_i} & z \in (\zeta, l_d) 
\end{cases} \quad (7)
\]

For the fiber/matrix bonded region \((l_d < z)\), the fiber and matrix axial stresses and the fiber/matrix interfacial shear stress can be determined using the composite-cylinder model adopted by Budiansky-Hutchinson-Evans \(^{31}\). The fiber and matrix axial stresses and the fiber/matrix interface shear stress in the bonded region \((l_d < z)\) can be described using the following equations:

\[
\sigma_i(z) = \sigma_{in} + \left( \frac{V_i}{V_m} \sigma_{mo} - \frac{2\tau_i \zeta}{\eta_i} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{\rho z - l_d}{\eta} \right) 
\]

\[
\sigma_m(z) = \sigma_{mo} + \left[ \frac{2V_i \tau_i}{V_m \eta_i} \zeta + \frac{2V_i \tau_i (l_d - \zeta)}{\eta_i} - \sigma_{mo} \right] \exp \left( -\frac{\rho z - l_d}{\eta_i} \right) 
\]

\[
\tau_i(z) = \frac{p}{2} \left[ \frac{V_m \sigma_{mo}}{V_i} - \frac{2\tau_i \zeta}{\eta_i} - \frac{2\tau_i (l_d - \zeta)}{\eta_i} \right] \exp \left( -\frac{\rho z - l_d}{\eta_i} \right) 
\]

where \(\eta\) denotes the shear-lag model parameter, and

\[
\sigma_{in} = \frac{E_i}{E_c} \sigma + E_i (\alpha_i - \alpha) \Delta T 
\]

\[
\sigma_{mo} = \frac{E_m}{E_c} \sigma + E_m (\alpha_i - \alpha_m) \Delta T 
\]

where \(E_i, E_m\) and \(E_c\) denote the fiber, matrix and composite elastic modulus, respectively; \(\alpha_i, \alpha_m\) and \(\alpha\) denote the fiber, matrix and composite thermal expansion coefficient, respectively; and \(\Delta T\) denotes the temperature difference between the fabrication temperature \(T_0\) and testing temperature \(T_1\) \((\Delta T = T_1 - T_0)\).

2. Interface debonding

When matrix cracking propagates to the fiber/matrix interface, it deflects along the interface. The fracture mechanics approach is adopted to determine the fiber/matrix interface debonded length. \(^ {32}\)

\[
\zeta_d = \frac{F}{4\pi \eta_i} \frac{\partial w_i(0)}{\partial l_d} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{l_d}^{l_i} \frac{\partial v(z)}{\partial l_d} dl_d 
\]

where \(F = \pi r_i^2 \sigma / V_i\) denotes the fiber load at the matrix cracking plane; \(w_i(0)\) denotes the fiber axial displacement at the matrix cracking plane and \(v(z)\) denotes the relative displacement between the fiber and the matrix.

The fiber and matrix axial displacements of \(w_i(z)\) and \(w_m(z)\) are described using the following equations:

\[
w_i(z) = \int_{l_d}^{z} \frac{\sigma_i(z)}{E_i} \, dz 
\]

\[
w_m(z) = \int_{l_d}^{z} \frac{\sigma_m(z)}{E_m} \, dz 
\]

\[
= \frac{V_i \tau_i}{\eta_i V_m E_m} \left( 2\zeta_l - \zeta^2 - z^2 \right) + \frac{V_i \tau_i}{\eta_i V_m E_m} (l_d - \zeta)^2 + \frac{\sigma_{mo}}{E_m} \left( \frac{l}{2} - l_d \right) 
\]

\[
- \frac{\eta_i}{p E_m} \left[ \sigma_{mo} - 2 \frac{V_i \tau_i}{\eta_i V_m E_m} \zeta - \frac{V_i \tau_i}{\eta_i V_m E_m} (l_d - \zeta) \right] \exp \left( -\frac{\rho l / 2 - l_d}{\eta_i} \right) 
\]

\[
\]
The relative displacement \( v(z) \) between the fiber and the matrix is described using the following equation:

\[
v(x) = [\omega_i(z) - \omega_m(z)]
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma}{E_i} (l_a - z) - \left( \frac{E_i \tau_i}{\eta V_m E_m E_i} \right) (2 \zeta l_a - \zeta^2 - z^2) - \left( \frac{E_i \tau_i}{\eta V_m E_m E_i} \right) (l_a - \zeta)^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{\eta E_i}{\rho V_m E_m E_i} \left[ \sigma_{m0} - 2 \frac{\tau_i}{\eta} \zeta - 2 \frac{\tau_i}{\eta} (l_a - \zeta) \right] \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\rho \frac{l / 2 - l_a}{\tau_i} \right) \right]
\]

(16)

Substituting \( w_i(z=0) \) and \( v(z) \) into Eq. (13) leads to the following equation:

\[
\frac{E_i \tau_i^2}{\eta V_m E_m E_i} (l_a - \zeta)^2 + \frac{E_i \tau_i^2}{\rho V_m E_m E_i} (l_a - \zeta) - \frac{\tau_i \sigma}{V_m E_i} (l_a - \zeta) + \frac{2 E_i \tau_i \sigma}{\eta V_m E_m E_i} (l_a - \zeta)
\]

\[
- \frac{\tau_i \sigma}{2 \rho V_m E_i} \frac{E_i \tau_i^2}{\rho V_m E_m E_i} \zeta^2 + \frac{E_i \tau_i \sigma}{\rho V_m E_m E_i} \zeta + \frac{\tau_i \sigma}{4 V_m E_i} \zeta^2 + \frac{2 \tau_i \sigma}{4 V_m E_i} \zeta = 0
\]

(17)

With solving of Eq. (17), the fiber/matrix interface debonded length is determined with the following equation:

\[
l_a = \left( 1 - \frac{\tau_i}{\eta} \right) \zeta + \frac{\eta}{2} \left( \frac{V_m E_i \sigma}{E_m E_i} - 1 \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\eta}{2 \rho} \right) \frac{E_i \tau_i^2}{E_m E_i} \zeta - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{d}
\]

(18)

### 3. Matrix multi-cracking

Solti et al. developed the critical matrix strain energy (CMSE) criterion to predict matrix multi-cracking evolution in fiber-reinforced CMCs. The concept of critical matrix strain energy presupposes the existence of an ultimate or critical strain energy. Beyond the critical value of matrix strain energy, as more energy is input into the composite with increasing applied stress, the matrix cannot support the extra load and continues to fail. The failure is assumed to consist of the formation of new cracks and fiber/matrix interface debonding, to make the total energy within the matrix remain constant and equal to its critical value.

The matrix strain energy is determined using the following equation:

\[
U_m = \frac{1}{2 E_m} \int_{A_m} \sigma_m^2 (z) dz dA_m
\]

(19)

where \( A_m \) is the cross-section area of matrix in the unit cell. Substituting the matrix axial stresses in Eq. (7) and (9) into Eq. (19), the matrix strain energy considering matrix multi-cracking and fiber/matrix interface partially debonding is described using the following equation:

\[
U_m = \frac{A_m}{E_m} \left[ \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{V_m \tau_i}{V_m \eta} \right)^2 \zeta^3 + 4 \left( \frac{V_m \tau_i}{V_m \eta} \right) \zeta^2 (l_a - \zeta) + 4 \left( \frac{V_m \tau_i}{V_m \eta} \right) \zeta (l_a - \zeta)^2 \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{\sigma_{m0} \left( l_a - l_a \right)}{2 \rho} \left[ 2 \frac{V_m \tau_i}{V_m \eta} \zeta + 2 \frac{V_m \tau_i}{V_m \eta} \zeta - \sigma_{m0} \right] \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\rho \frac{l - l_a}{\tau_i} \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{\eta}{2 \rho} \left[ 2 \frac{V_m \tau_i}{V_m \eta} \zeta - \sigma_{m0} \right] \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\rho \frac{l - l_a}{\tau_i} \right) \right]
\]

(20)

When the fiber/matrix interface completely debonds, the matrix strain energy is described using the following equation:

\[
U_m (\sigma, l, l_d = l / 2) = \frac{A_m}{E_m} \left[ \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{V_m \tau_i}{V_m \eta} \right)^2 \zeta^3 + 4 \left( \frac{V_m \tau_i}{V_m \eta} \right) \zeta^2 (l_a - \zeta) \right]
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{V_m \tau_i}{V_m \eta} \right) \zeta (l_a - \zeta)^2 + 4 \left( \frac{V_m \tau_i}{V_m \eta} \right) (l_a - \zeta)^3
\]

(21)

With evaluation of the matrix strain energy at a critical stress of \( \sigma_{crit} \), the critical matrix strain energy of \( U_{cr,m} \) can be obtained.

The critical matrix strain energy is described using the following equation:

\[
U_{cr,m} = \frac{1}{2} k A_m l_c \sigma_{m0}^2
\]

(22)

where \( k (k \in [0,1]) \) is the critical matrix strain energy parameter; and \( L_0 \) is the initial matrix crack spacing and \( \sigma_{m0} \) is determined using the following equation:

\[
\sigma_{m0} = \frac{E_m}{E_f} \sigma_f + E_m (\alpha_f - \alpha_m) \Delta T
\]

(23)
where \( \sigma_{c} \) is the critical stress corresponding to composite’s proportional limit stress, i.e. the stress at which the stress-strain curve starts to deviate from linearity owing to damage accumulation of matrix cracks. The critical stress is defined to be the Aveston-Cooper-Kelly matrix cracking stress, which was determined using the energy balance criterion, involving calculation of energy balance relationship before and after the formation of a single dominant cracking. The Aveston-Cooper-Kelly model can be used to describe long-steady-state matrix cracking stress, corresponding to the proportional limit stress of tensile stress-strain curve. The Aveston-Cooper-Kelly matrix cracking stress is determined using the following equation:

\[
\sigma_{c} = \left( \frac{6V_{f}E_{c}E_{m}^{2}c_{m}^{2}}{rV_{f}E_{m}} \right)^{1/3} - E_{c}(\alpha_{c} - \alpha_{m})\Delta T \tag{24}
\]

where \( c_{m} \) denotes the matrix fracture energy. However, as microcracks form in the matrix when CMCs are cooled down from the high fabrication temperature to room temperature, owing to thermal expansion coefficient misfit between the fiber and the matrix, these microcracks are short-matrix-cracking, and the cracking stresses of these microcracks lie in the linear region of tensile stress-strain curve. With increasing applied stress, matrix microcracks can propagate into long-matrix cracking. The matrix cracking stress of the Aveston-Cooper-Kelly model was used to determine the critical matrix strain energy.

The energy balance relationship to evaluate matrix multi-cracking evolution is determined using the following equation:

\[
U_{m}(\sigma > \sigma_{c}, l_{d}, l_{d}^{'}) = U_{cm}(\sigma, l_{d}^{'}) \tag{25}
\]

The matrix multi-cracking evolution versus applied stress can be solved with Eq. (25) when the critical matrix cracking stress of \( \sigma_{c} \) and the fiber/matrix interface debonded length of \( l_{d} \) are determined with Eq. (18) and (24).

### III. Discussion

The ceramic composite system of SiC/CAS is used for the case study and its material properties are given by:

- \( V_{f} = 30 \% \), \( E_{f} = 200 \) GPa, \( E_{m} = 97 \) GPa, \( r_{f} = 7.5 \mu m \), \( c_{m} = 6 \) J/m², \( c_{d} = 0.8 \) J/m², \( c_{s} = 20 \) MPa, \( c_{f} = 4 \times 10^{-5}/K \), \( c_{m} = 5 \times 10^{-5}/K \), \( \Delta T = -1000 K \).

#### 1. Effect of fiber volume fraction

The matrix cracking density, fiber/matrix interface debonded length (\( l_{d}/l_{c} \)), interface debonding ratio (\( 2l_{d}/l_{c} \)) and interface oxidation ratio (\( \zeta/l_{d} \)) corresponding to different fiber volume fractions (i.e. \( V_{f} = 30 \% \) and \( 35 \% \)) are shown in Fig. 2.

---

**Fig. 2:** The effect of fiber volume fraction (i.e. \( V_{f} = 30 \% \) and \( 35 \% \)) on (a) the matrix cracking density versus applied stress curves; (b) the fiber/matrix interface debonded length (\( l_{d}/l_{c} \)) versus applied stress curves; (c) the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio (\( 2l_{d}/l_{c} \)) and interface oxidation ratio (\( \zeta/l_{d} \)) versus applied stress curves; and (d) the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio (\( \zeta/l_{d} \)) versus applied stress curves.
When the fiber volume fraction is $V_f = 30\%$, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.09/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 201 MPa to 3.1/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 360 MPa; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$) increases from 6.5 to 13.5; the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) increases from 0.9 % to 64.9 %; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) decreases from 60 % to 29 %.

When the fiber volume fraction is $V_f = 35\%$, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.1/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 235 MPa to 3.5/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 400 MPa; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$) increases from 5.9 to 11.4; the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) increases from 0.9 % to 60.3 %; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) decreases from 67.2 % to 34.5 %.

With increasing fiber volume fraction, the first matrix cracking stress, matrix saturation cracking stress and matrix cracking density increase; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length and interface debonding ratio decrease; and the interface oxidation ratio increases.

2. Effect of fiber/matrix interface shear stress

The matrix cracking density, fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$), interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) and interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) corresponding to different fiber/matrix interface shear stress of $\tau_i = 10$ and 15 MPa are shown in Fig. 3.

When the fiber/matrix interface shear stress is $\tau_i = 10$ MPa, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.12/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 147 MPa to 2.7/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 238 MPa; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$) increases from 4.4 to 19.6; the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) increases from 0.8 % to 81.9 %; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) decreases from 90.2 % to 20.1 %.

When the fiber/matrix interface shear stress is $\tau_i = 15$ MPa, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.09/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 177 MPa to 2.9/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 315 MPa; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$) increases from 6.0 to 14.4; the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) increases from 0.9 % to 63.9 %; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) decreases from 65.8 % to 27.5 %.

With increasing fiber/matrix interface shear stress of $\tau_i$, the first matrix cracking stress, matrix saturation cracking stress and matrix cracking density increase; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length and interface debonding ratio decrease; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio increases.

Fig. 3: The effect of fiber/matrix interface shear stress (i.e. $\tau_i = 10$ and 15 MPa) on (a) the matrix cracking density versus applied stress curves; (b) the fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$) versus applied stress curves; (c) the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) versus applied stress curves; and (d) the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) versus applied stress curves.
Figure 4: The effect of fiber/matrix interface shear stress (i.e., $\tau_f = 1$ and 5 MPa) on (a) the matrix cracking density versus applied stress curves; (b) the fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$) versus applied stress curves; (c) the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) versus applied stress curves; and (d) the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) versus applied stress curves.

The matrix cracking density, fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$), interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) and interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) corresponding to different fiber/matrix interface shear stress of $\tau_f = 1$ and 5 MPa are shown in Fig. 4.

When the fiber/matrix interface shear stress is $\tau_f = 1$ MPa, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.08/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 201 MPa to 2.8/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 320 MPa; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$) increases from 7.3 to 12.6; the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) increases from 0.93 % to 54.5 %; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) decreases from 53.9 % to 31.5 %.

When the fiber/matrix interface shear stress is $\tau_f = 5$ MPa, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.09/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 201 MPa to 3.0/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 320 MPa; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$) increases from 6.5 to 11.8; the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) increases from 0.92 % to 54.6 %; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) decreases from 60.4 % to 33.6 %.

With increasing fiber/matrix interface shear stress of $\tau_f$, the matrix cracking density increases; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length decreases; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio increases.

3. Effect of fiber/matrix interface debonded energy

The matrix cracking density, fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$), interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) and interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) corresponding to different fiber/matrix interface debonded energy of $\xi_d = 0.5$ and 1.0 J/m² are shown in Fig. 5.

When the fiber/matrix interface debonded energy is $\xi_d = 0.5$ J/m², the matrix cracking density increases from 0.08/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 201 MPa to 2.9/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 320 MPa; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$) increases from 7.6 to 12.9; the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) increases from 0.95 % to 56.3 %; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) decreases from 51.6 % to 30.7 %.

When the fiber/matrix interface debonded energy is $\xi_d = 1.0$ J/m², the matrix cracking density increases from 0.1/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 201 MPa to 3.2/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 320 MPa; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$) increases from 5.9 to 11.2; the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) increases from 0.9 % to 53.7 %; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio ($f/l_d$) decreases from 66.8 % to 35.4 %.
With increasing fiber/matrix interface debonded energy, the matrix cracking density increases; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length and interface debonding ratio decrease; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio increases.

4. Effect of oxidation temperature

The matrix cracking density, fiber/matrix interface debonded length \((l_d/r_f)\), interface debonding ratio \((2l_d/l_c)\) and interface oxidation ratio \((f/l_d)\) corresponding to different oxidation temperature of Temp = 600 °C and 900 °C are shown in Fig. 6.

When the oxidation temperature is Temp = 600 °C, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.14/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 201 MPa to 3.9/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 320 MPa; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length \((l_d/r_f)\) increases from 4.0 to 9.2; the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio \((2l_d/l_c)\) increases from 0.8 % to 55 %; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio \((f/l_d)\) decreases from 14.8 % to 6.4 %.

When the oxidation temperature is Temp = 900 °C, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.06/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 201 MPa to 2.4/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 320 MPa; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length \((l_d/r_f)\) increases from 9.6 to 14.8; the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio \((2l_d/l_c)\) increases from 0.95 % to 54.3 %; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio \((f/l_d)\) decreases from 83.4 % to 53.9 %.

With increasing oxidation temperature, the matrix cracking density decreases; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length increases; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio increases.

5. Effect of oxidation time

The matrix cracking density, fiber/matrix interface debonded length \((l_d/r_f)\), interface debonding ratio \((2l_d/l_c)\) and interface oxidation ratio \((f/l_d)\) corresponding to different oxidation times of \(t = 1\) and \(2\) h are shown in Fig. 7.

When the oxidation time is \(t = 1\) h, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.09/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 201 MPa to 3.0/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 320 MPa; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length \((l_d/r_f)\) increases from 6.5 to 11.8; the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio \((2l_d/l_c)\) increases from 0.9 % to 54.6 %; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio \((f/l_d)\) decreases from 60.4 % to 33.6 %.

When the oxidation time is \(t = 2\) h, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.06/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 201 MPa to 2.4/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 320 MPa; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length \((l_d/r_f)\) increases from 9.5 to 14.7; the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio \((2l_d/l_c)\) increases from 0.95 % to 54.3 %; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio \((f/l_d)\) decreases from 83.1 % to 53.6 %.
Fig. 6: The effect of temperature (i.e. Temp = 600 °C and 900 °C) on (a) the matrix cracking density versus applied stress curves; (b) the fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$) versus applied stress curves; (c) the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) versus applied stress curves; and (d) the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio ($\ell/l_d$) versus applied stress curves.

Fig. 7: The effect of oxidation time (i.e. $t = 1$ h and 2 h) on (a) the matrix cracking density versus applied stress curves; (b) the fiber/matrix interface debonded length ($l_d/r_f$) versus applied stress curves; (c) the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio ($2l_d/l_c$) versus applied stress curves; and (d) the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio ($\ell/l_d$) versus applied stress curves.
With increasing oxidation time, the matrix cracking density decreases; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length increases; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio increases.

6. Comparisons with/without oxidation

Comparisons of matrix cracking density and fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio with and without oxidation are shown in Fig. 8.

Without considering fiber/matrix interface oxidation, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.13/mm at the first matrix cracking stress of 201 MPa to 3.9/mm at the saturation matrix cracking stress of 360 MPa; and the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio \((2l_d/l_c)\) increases from 0.92 % to 68 %.

With consideration of fiber/matrix interface oxidation, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.09/mm at 100 MPa to 6.4/mm at 190 MPa; and the fiber/matrix interface debonded length increases from 0.05 % at 100 MPa to 42.7 % at 220 MPa. With oxidation, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.6/mm at 100 MPa to 6.4/mm at 190 MPa; and the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio increases from 0.6 % at 100 MPa to 38 % at 220 MPa, as shown in Fig. 9. The optical micrograph of the multiple matrix cracking on the edge surface is shown in Fig. 10.

IV. Experimental Comparisons

The experimental and theoretical matrix cracking density, fiber/matrix interface debonded length \((2l_d/l_c)\) and broken fibers fraction versus applied stress for different CMCs, i.e. unidirectional C/SiC, SiC/CAS\(^{19}\), SiC/borosilicate\(^{24}\) and mini-SiC/SiC\(^{38}\) composites are predicted using the present analysis, as shown in Fig. 9 ∼ 13. The material properties of CMCs are listed in Table 1.

1. C/SiC composite

For C/SiC composite without oxidation, the matrix cracking evolution starts from the applied stress of 100 MPa and approaches saturation at the applied stress of 220 MPa; the matrix cracking density increases from 2.0/mm to the saturation value of 8.5/mm; and the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio \((2l_d/l_c)\) increases from 0.92 % to 68 %.

With fiber/matrix interface oxidation, the matrix cracking density and the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio decrease.
Table 1: The material properties of SiC/CAS, SiC/borosilicate and mini-SiC/SiC composites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>C/SiC</th>
<th>SiC/CAS †</th>
<th>SiC/borosilicate ‡</th>
<th>mini-SiC/SiC §</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_f$/(GPa)</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_m$/(GPa)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_f$</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_f$/(µm)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_f$/(10^-6/K)</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_m$/(10^-6/K)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_m$/(MPa)</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_d$/(J/m²)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10: The matrix multiple cracking of failed tensile specimen observed under an optical microscope.

2. SiC/CAS composite

For SiC/CAS composite without oxidation, the matrix cracking evolution starts from the applied stress of 140 MPa and approaches saturation at the applied stress of 288 MPa; the matrix cracking density increases from 0.7/mm to the saturation value of 7/mm; and the fiber/matrix interface debonded length increases from 0.7 % at 160 MPa to 79 % at 360 MPa. With oxidation, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.13/mm at 160 MPa to 4.8/mm at 332 MPa; and the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio increases from 0.86 % at 160 MPa to 72 % at 360 MPa, as shown in Fig. 11.

3. SiC/borosilicate composite

For SiC/borosilicate composite without oxidation, the matrix cracking evolution starts from the applied stress of 220 MPa and approaches saturation at the applied stress of 360 MPa; the matrix cracking density increases from 0.2/mm to the saturation value of 6.5/mm; and the fiber/matrix interface debonded length increases from 0.8 % at 220 MPa to 82 % at 420 MPa. With oxidation, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.1/mm at 220 MPa to 4.4/mm at 414 MPa; and the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio increases from 0.92 % at 220 MPa to 78 % at 420 MPa, as shown in Fig. 12.
4. Mini-SiC/SiC composite

For mini-SiC/SiC composite without oxidation, the matrix cracking evolution starts from the applied stress of 135 MPa and approaches saturation at the applied stress of 250 MPa; the matrix cracking density increases from 0.4/mm to the saturation value of 2.4/mm; and the fiber/matrix interface debonded length increases from 1 % at 135 MPa to 98 % at 330 MPa. With oxidation, the matrix cracking density increases from 0.06/mm at 135 MPa to 2.2/mm at 260 MPa; and the fiber/matrix interface debonding ratio increases from 0.99 % at 135 MPa to 91.8 % at 330 MPa, as shown in Fig. 13.

V. Conclusions

In this paper, the effect of fiber/matrix interface oxidation on matrix multi-cracking evolution of fiber-reinforced CMCs has been investigated using the critical matrix strain energy criterion. The shear-lag model combined with the fiber/matrix interface oxidation model and fiber/matrix interface debonding criterion has been adopted to analyze the fiber and matrix axial stress distribution inside the damaged composite. The relationships between the matrix multi-cracking, fiber/matrix interface debonding and oxidation have been established. The effects of fiber volume fraction, fiber/matrix interface shear stress, fiber/matrix...
interface debonded energy, oxidation temperature and oxidation time on the stress-dependent matrix multi-cracking development have been discussed. Comparisons of matrix multi-cracking evolution with/without oxidation have been analyzed. The experimental matrix multi-cracking development of unidirectional C/SiC, SiC/CAS, SiC/borosilicate and mini-SiC/SiC composites with/without oxidation have been predicted.

(1) With increasing fiber volume fraction, the first matrix cracking stress, matrix saturation cracking stress and matrix cracking density increase; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length and interface debonding ratio decrease; and the interface oxidation ratio increases.

(2) With increasing fiber/matrix interface shear stress of $\tau_{p}$, the first matrix cracking stress, matrix saturation cracking stress and matrix cracking density increase; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length and interface debonding ratio decrease; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio increases.

(3) With increasing fiber/matrix interface shear stress of $\tau_{p}$, the matrix cracking density increases; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length decreases; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio increases.

(4) With increasing fiber/matrix interface debonded energy, the matrix cracking density increases; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length and interface debonding ratio decrease; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio increases.

(5) With increasing oxidation temperature and time, the matrix cracking density decreases; the fiber/matrix interface debonded length increases; and the fiber/matrix interface oxidation ratio increases.
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