## **Journal of Ceramic Science and Technology**

## **Guidelines for referees**

Göller Publishing house and the journal editor are highly interested to publish technical papers in JCST which reflect an excellent international level. We express our thanks to the referees which give their support to reach this objective. The following criteria should be fulfilled by authors who want to publish a paper in JCST.

The papers must fit within the scope of the Journal and be of interest to its readers. They shall report original research and contain substantially new and interesting results of sufficient importance.

In addition to the specified format the papers have to describe the state of the art with a thorough literature review, the purpose, the approach, results and consequences and how the present study differs from past studies.

The experimental procedure has to be accurately described including the number of tests performed, the number of specimens used, their dimensions, the test equipment used etc. Micrographs and figures should be self-explanatory with captions that clearly convey their intended message. The results have to be logically discussed in a way that leads to exact conclusions.

The manuscripts have to be written using proper English style and grammar.

The attached document was sent in for publication in JCST.			
Title of paper:			
Author(s):			
We kindly ask you to state your opinion until			

## **Editorial Review**

1.2 The paper can be published as soon a	as some corrections are made*		
1.3 The paper is acceptable for publication	n, if a revised version will be sent in	*	
1.4 The paper is not suitable for publication	on at all*		
(* please give your detailed statement in y	our summarizing comments)		
		yes	no
		_	
2. The title of the paper is concise			
3. The abstract is a precise summary of the	ne contents ?**		
4. The literature study listed is comprehen	nsive ?**		
5. The experimental part gives enough de	etails ?**		
6. Will it be possible to condense the text without minimizing the technical content			
7. Are the conclusions logical and valid ?*	**		
(** if not please refer to details in your star	tement)		
Comment of the referee:			
name in block letters	signature	date	• • • • • • • •